

## Euler characteristic

For a finite CW complex  $X$ , the Euler characteristic  $\chi(X)$  is the alternating sum  $\sum_n (-1)^n C_n$ , where  $C_n$  is # of  $n$ -cells in  $X$ .

Ex: For a 2-dim CW complexes  $X$ ,  $\chi(X) = V - E + F$ .

Theorem 2.44.  $\chi(X) = \sum_n (-1)^n \text{rank } H_n(X)$ .

Theorem 2.44.  $\Rightarrow \chi(X)$  can be defined purely in terms of homology. and, hence depends only on the homotopy type of  $X$ .  
In particular,  $\chi(X)$  is independent of the choice of CW structure on  $X$ .

Recall: The rank of a finitely generated abelian group  $G$  is the number of  $\mathbb{Z}$ -summands of  $G$ .

if  $0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C \rightarrow 0$  is an exact sequence of finitely generated abelian groups, then  $\text{rank}(B) = \text{rank}(A) + \text{rank}(C)$ .

Pf of 2.44:

Let  $0 \rightarrow C_n \xrightarrow{d_n} C_{n-1} \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow C_0 \xrightarrow{d_0} 0$  be a chain complex of finitely generated abelian groups, with cycles  $Z_n = \ker d_n$ , boundary  $B_n = \text{Im } d_{n+1}$ .  
homology  $H_n = Z_n / B_n$ .

Thus we have short exact sequences

$$0 \rightarrow Z_n \rightarrow C_n \rightarrow B_{n-1} \rightarrow 0$$

and  $0 \rightarrow B_n \rightarrow Z_n \rightarrow H_n \rightarrow 0,$

hence  $\text{rank } C_n = \text{rank } Z_n + \text{rank } B_{n-1}$

$$\text{rank } Z_n = \text{rank } B_n + \text{rank } H_n.$$

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_i (-1)^i \text{rank } H_i &= \sum_i (-1)^i (\text{rank } Z_i - \text{rank } B_i) \\ &= \sum_i (-1)^i (\text{rank } C_i - \text{rank } B_{i-1} - \text{rank } B_i) \\ &= \sum_i (-1)^i \text{rank } C_i - \sum_i (-1)^i \text{rank } B_{i-1} - \sum_i (-1)^i \text{rank } B_i \\ &= \sum_i (-1)^i \text{rank } C_i. \end{aligned}$$

Applying this with  $C_n = H_n(X^n, X^{n-1})$  then gives the theorem. #

### split exact sequence

Suppose one has a retraction  $r: X \rightarrow A$ , so  $r \circ i = \mathbb{1}$ , where  $i: A \rightarrow X$  is the inclusion.

The induced map  $i_*: H_n(A) \rightarrow H_n(X)$  is then injective since  $r_* i_* = \mathbb{1}$ .

Consider the long exact sequence

$$H_{n+1}(X, A) \xrightarrow{\partial} H_n(A) \xrightarrow{i_*} H_n(X) \xrightarrow{j_*} H_n(X, A) \xrightarrow{\partial} H_{n-1}(A) \rightarrow \dots$$

$\downarrow r_*$

$$\Rightarrow 0 \rightarrow H_n(A) \xrightarrow{i_*} H_n(X) \xrightarrow{j_*} H_n(X, A) \rightarrow 0.$$

( $\because i_*$  is injective,  $\text{Im } \partial = \text{ker } i_* = 0$ .)

$r_* i_* = \mathbb{1}$  gives more information.

Splitting Lemma For a short exact sequence  
 $0 \rightarrow A \xrightarrow{i} B \xrightarrow{j} C \rightarrow 0$  of abelian groups  
 the following are equivalent:

- (a). There exists  $p: B \rightarrow A$  such that  $pi = 1: A \rightarrow A$ .  
 (b). There exists  $s: C \rightarrow B$  such that  $js = 1: C \rightarrow C$ .  
 (c). There is an isomorphism  $B \cong A \oplus C$  making

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 0 & \rightarrow & A & \xrightarrow{i} & B & \xrightarrow{j} & C \rightarrow 0 \\
 & & & & \downarrow \cong & & \\
 & & & & A \oplus C & \xrightarrow{\quad} & C \\
 & & \swarrow a & & \nearrow & & \\
 & & & & (a, 0) & & \\
 & & & & (a, c) & & \nearrow c
 \end{array}$$

commutative.

- If these conditions are satisfied, the exact sequence is said to split.

Sketch of proof:

For (a)  $\Rightarrow$  (c), one checks that the map  $B \rightarrow A \oplus C$   
 $b \mapsto (p(b), j(b))$   
 is an isomorphism with the desired property.

For (b)  $\Rightarrow$  (c), one uses the map  $A \oplus C \rightarrow B$   
 $(a, c) \mapsto i(a) + s(c)$ .

(c)  $\Rightarrow$  (a), (c)  $\Rightarrow$  (b) are obvious.

If one wants to show (b)  $\Rightarrow$  (c) directly,  
 one can define  $p(b) = i^{-1}(b - sj(b))$ .

... Exercise.



Recall that we have

$$0 \rightarrow H_n(A) \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{i_*} \\ \xleftarrow{r_*} \end{array} H_n(X) \rightarrow H_n(X, A) \rightarrow 0$$

$r_* i_* = \mathbb{1}$ .

By the splitting Lemma,

$$H_n(X) \cong H_n(A) \oplus H_n(X, A).$$

As an application, we know that for  $n \geq 2$ ,

$S^{n-1}$  is not a retract of  $D^n$

Since a retraction  $D^n \rightarrow S^{n-1}$  will give a splitting

$$H_{n-1}(D^n) \cong H_{n-1}(S^{n-1}) \oplus H_{n-1}(D^n, S^{n-1})$$

$\begin{array}{ccc} \cong & \cong & \rightarrow \leftarrow \\ 0 & \cong & \end{array}$