

Reidemeister torsion.

1.

References:

1. Notes on Reidemeister torsion, Andrew Ranicki
2. Introduction to combinatorial torsions, V. Turaev.

Some traditional algebraic topology invariants of a finite simplicial complex X :

- the Betti numbers $b_*(X)$ (1871).
- the fundamental group $\pi_1(X)$ (1895)
- the homology groups $H_*(X)$, $b_i(X) = \dim H_i(X)$ (1925).

1935. Reidemeister introduced Reidemeister torsion (R-torsion) on the combinatorial classification of the 3-dim $\hat{\mathbb{I}}$ lens spaces by means of the based simplicial chain complex of the universal cover.

1935. Franz (a student of Reidemeister) generalized to higher $\dim \hat{\mathbb{I}}$ case.

R-torsion:

a combinatorial invariant: finite simplicial complexes with isomorphic subdivisions have same R-torsion.

not a homotopy invariant: \exists homotopy equivalent spaces with different R-torsion.

a topological invariant: finite simplicial complexes with homeomorphic polyhedra have the same R-torsion.

Torsion of chain complexes

2.

- Let D be a finite $-dim \hat{e}$ vector space over a field F . $\dim D = k$.

Pick two (ordered) bases $b = (b_1, \dots, b_k)$ and $c = (c_1, \dots, c_k)$ of D .

$$\text{Then } b_i = \sum_{j=1}^k a_{ij} c_j \quad i=1, \dots, k.$$

the matrix $(a_{ij})_{i,j=1, \dots, k}$ is a non-degenerate $(k \times k)$ -matrix over F .

$$\text{Write } [b/c] = \det(a_{ij}) \in F^* = F \setminus \{0\}.$$

Clearly, (1) $[b/b] = 1$.

(2). if d is a third basis of D ,

$$\text{then } [b/d] = [b/c] \cdot [c/d].$$

We call two bases b and c equivalent ($b \sim c$) if $[b/c] = 1$.

\sim is an equivalence relation.

- Let $0 \rightarrow C \xrightarrow{\alpha} D \xrightarrow{\beta} E \rightarrow 0$ be a short exact sequence of vector spaces.

$$\text{Then } \dim D = \dim C + \dim E.$$

Let $c = (c_1, \dots, c_k)$ be a basis of C .

$e = (e_1, \dots, e_r)$ be a basis of E .

Since β is surjective, we may lift each e_i to some $\tilde{e}_i \in D$.

$$\text{Set } ce = (c_1, \dots, c_k, \tilde{e}_1, \tilde{e}_2, \dots, \tilde{e}_r).$$

Then ce is a basis of D .

Its equivalence class does not depend on the choice of \tilde{e}_i . It depends only on the equivalence classes of c and e .

Now we consider the chain complex

$$C = (0 \rightarrow C_m \xrightarrow{\partial_{m+1}} C_{m+1} \rightarrow \dots \xrightarrow{\partial_1} C_1 \xrightarrow{\partial_0} C_0 \rightarrow 0)$$

where C_0, C_1, \dots, C_m are finite-dimensional v.s. / \mathbb{F} .

Def: The chain complex C is acyclic if $H_i(C) = 0, \forall i$.
(i.e. $\ker \partial_{i+1} = \text{Im } \partial_i, \forall i$.)

② based if each C_i has a distinguished basis c_i .

Let $C = (0 \rightarrow C_m \xrightarrow{\partial_{m+1}} C_{m+1} \rightarrow \dots \xrightarrow{\partial_1} C_1 \xrightarrow{\partial_0} C_0 \rightarrow 0)$ be an acyclic based chain complex over \mathbb{F} .

Set $B_i = \text{Im}(\partial_i: C_{i+1} \rightarrow C_i) \subset C_i$.

Since C is acyclic.

$$C_i / B_i = C_i / \ker(\partial_{i+1}: C_i \rightarrow C_{i+1}) \cong \text{Im } \partial_{i+1} = B_{i+1}.$$

In other words,

$$0 \rightarrow B_i \hookrightarrow C_i \xrightarrow{\partial_{i+1}} B_{i+1} \rightarrow 0$$

is exact.

Choose a basis b_i of B_i for $i = -1, \dots, m$. ($B_{-1} = 0, B_m = 0$)

$b_i b_{i+1}$ is a basis of C_i .

Def: The torsion of C is $\tau(C) = \prod_{i=0}^m \left[\frac{b_i b_{i+1}}{c_i} \right]^{(-1)^{i+1}} \in \mathbb{F}^*$.

• $\tau(C)$ does not depend on the choice of b_i

but depends on the distinguished basis c_i of C_i .

computation of the torsion.

4.

Chain contraction

Fix an acyclic based finite dim chain complex

$$C = (0 \rightarrow C_m \xrightarrow{\partial_{m-1}} C_{m-1} \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow C_1 \xrightarrow{\partial_0} C_0 \rightarrow 0)$$

over a field F .

Since C is acyclic, \exists a chain contraction $\delta: C \rightarrow C$

i.e. a sequence of homomorphisms

$$\delta_i: C_i \rightarrow C_{i+1}, \quad i=0,1,\dots,m \text{ s.t. for all } i,$$

$$\delta_{i+1} \partial_{i+1} + \partial_i \delta_i = \text{Id}: C_i \rightarrow C_i.$$

(a standard result of homological algebra.)

Set $B_i = \text{Im}(\partial_i: C_{i+1} \rightarrow C_i)$.

Then the short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow B_i \hookrightarrow C_i \xrightarrow{\partial_{i-1}} B_{i-1} \rightarrow 0$$

splits as $C_i = B_i \oplus \tau_i(B_{i-1})$, where $\partial_{i-1} \circ \tau_i = \text{Id}$.

(Since we work over a field, the splitting lemma, p147. Hatcher. implies the result.)

Define $\delta_i: C_i = B_i \oplus \tau_i(B_{i-1}) \rightarrow C_{i+1}$

by $\delta_i(a+b) = \tau_{i+1}(a)$ where $a \in B_i$, $b \in \tau_i(B_{i-1})$

For $b = \tau_i(b')$, $b' \in B_{i-1}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\delta_{i-1} \partial_{i-1} + \partial_i \delta_i)(a+b) &= \delta_{i-1}(\partial_{i-1} b) + \partial_i(\tau_{i+1}(a)) \\ &= \delta_{i-1}(b') + a = \tau_i(b') + a = b + a = \underline{a+b}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $\delta_{i-1} \partial_{i-1} + \partial_i \delta_i = \text{Id}$.

Set $C_{\text{even}} = \bigoplus_{i \text{ even}} C_i$.

$C_{\text{odd}} = \bigoplus_{i \text{ odd}} C_i$.

Clearly $\partial + \delta$ maps C_{even} to C_{odd}
and C_{odd} to C_{even} .

Theorem. For any chain contraction δ ,

$$\chi(C) = \det(\partial + \delta: C_{\text{even}} \rightarrow C_{\text{odd}}) = \left(\det(\partial + \delta: C_{\text{odd}} \rightarrow C_{\text{even}}) \right)^{-1}$$

Remark: Since C_{even} and C_{odd} are based

and since $\dim C_{\text{even}} - \dim C_{\text{odd}} = \chi(C) = 0$.

It makes sense to consider determinants
of $\partial + \delta$.

Formulation of Ray-Singer.

Suppose $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{R}$,

Then there is a unique Euclidean metric $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_i$
on C_i s.t. the distinguished basis C_i is an
orthonormal basis.

Define the adjoint $\partial_i^*: C_i \rightarrow C_{i+1}$ by

$$\langle \partial_i^* a, b \rangle_{i+1} = \langle a, \partial_i b \rangle_i, \quad a \in C_i, b \in C_{i+1}$$

and form the Laplacian

$$\Delta_i = \partial_{i+1} \partial_i^* + \partial_i^* \partial_i: C_i \rightarrow C_i$$

$|\chi(C)| \in \mathbb{R}_+$ can be recovered from the spectra
of $\Delta_i, i=0, \dots, m$, via an explicit formula.

Remark. \mathbb{F} can be replaced by a commutative ring A .

C_i " " " " " a A -module.

$\chi(C) \in A^*$

finitely generated free.

How does one associate an acyclic chain complex to a space X ?

Consider the CW decomposition $X = \bigcup_{r=0}^{\infty} Ue^r$.

Lift each cell $e^r \subset X$ to a cell $\tilde{e}^r \subset \tilde{X}$ in the universal cover of X .

$$\tilde{X} = \bigcup_{g \in \pi_1(X)} \bigcup_{r=0}^{\infty} Uge^r$$

with $\pi_1(X)$ acting on \tilde{X} as the group of covering translations $\pi_1(X) \times \tilde{X} \rightarrow \tilde{X}$
 $(g, x) \mapsto gx$

The group ring $\mathbb{Z}[\pi_1(X)]$ consists of the finite linear combinations $\sum_{g \in \pi_1(X)} n_g g$ ($n_g \in \mathbb{Z}$)

The cellular chain complex of \tilde{X}

$$C(\tilde{X}): \cdots \rightarrow C(\tilde{X})_{r+1} \xrightarrow{\partial} C(\tilde{X})_r \xrightarrow{\partial} C(\tilde{X})_{r-1} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow C(\tilde{X})_0$$

is a chain complex of based free $\mathbb{Z}[\pi_1(X)]$ -modules,

$$\text{with } C(\tilde{X})_r = H_r(\tilde{X}^{(r)}, \tilde{X}^{(r-1)})$$

= based. f.g. free $\mathbb{Z}[\pi_1(X)]$ -module
 generated by the r -cells $e^r \subset X$

with $\tilde{X}^{(r)}$ the induced cover of the
 r -skeleton of X . $X^{(r)} = \bigcup_{j \leq r} Ue^j \subseteq X$.

The basis elements are only determined by the cell structure of X up to multiplication by $\pm g$ ($g \in \pi_1(X)$).

The geometric action of $\pi_1(X)$ on \tilde{X} induces the algebraic action of $\mathbb{Z}[\pi_1(X)]$ on $C(\tilde{X})$.

Now $H_0(C(\tilde{X})) = H_0(\tilde{X}) = \mathbb{Z}$.

so $C(\tilde{X})$ is not acyclic & does not have a Reidemeister torsion.

Trick: Find a commutative ring A with a ring morphism $f: \mathbb{Z}[\pi_1(X)] \rightarrow A$ s.t. the induced A -module chain complex $C(X; A) = A \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[\pi_1(X)]} C(\tilde{X})$

is acyclic.

Def: The Reidemeister torsion of a finite CW complex X w.r.t. a ring morphism $f: \mathbb{Z}[\pi_1(X)] \rightarrow A$ s.t. $H_*(X; A) = 0$ is $\tau(X) = \tau(C(X; A)) \in A / \langle f(\pm \pi_1(X)) \rangle$

Def: (Tietze, 1908) The lens spaces are the closed oriented 3-dim'l manifolds

$$L(m, n) = S^3 / \mathbb{Z}_m = \{ (a, b) \in \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C} \mid |a|^2 + |b|^2 = 1 \}$$

with $\xi = e^{\frac{2\pi i}{m}}$ a primitive m -th root of unity.
 and m, n coprime.
 $(a, b) \sim (\xi a, \xi^n b)$

Standard homotopy invariants of $L = L(m, n)$ are
 $\pi_1(L) = H_1(L) = \mathbb{Z}_m$, $\pi_i(L) = \pi_i(S^3)$, $i \geq 2$.
 $H_0(L) = H_3(L) = \mathbb{Z}$, $H_i(L) = 0$, $i \neq 0, 1, 3$.
 $b_0(L) = b_3(L) = 1$, $b_i(L) = 0$, $i \neq 0, 3$.

Example: $L(1, 1) = S^3$, $L(2, 1) = \mathbb{R}P^3$.

Let $X = L(m, n)$. and choose a generator $t \in \pi_1(X) = \mathbb{Z}_m$
 $\mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{Z}_m] = \mathbb{Z}[t, t^{-1}] / (1 - t^m)$.

$\therefore m, n$ coprime, $\exists a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ s.t. $an + bm = 1$.

$L(m, n)$ has a CW decomposition.

$$L(m, n) = e^0 \cup e^1 \cup e^2 \cup e^3.$$

which lifts to a \mathbb{Z}_m -equivariant CW structure on the universal cover

$$\widetilde{L(m, n)} = S^3 = \bigcup_{k=0}^{m-1} (t^k e^0 \cup t^k e^1 \cup t^k e^2 \cup t^k e^3)$$

The cellular chain complex of based f.g. free $\mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{Z}_m]$ -modules

$$C = C(\widetilde{L(m, n)}): \cdots \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{Z}_m] \xrightarrow{1-t^a} \mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{Z}_m] \xrightarrow{N} \mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{Z}_m] \xrightarrow{1-t} \mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{Z}_m]$$

with $N = 1 + t + \cdots + t^{m-1} \in \mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{Z}_m]$.

For each primitive m th root ζ of 1 in \mathbb{C}

\exists defined ring morphism $f_\zeta: \mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{Z}_m] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$
 $t \mapsto \zeta$.

st. $H_* (L(m, n); \mathbb{C}) = 0$ ($\because 1 + s + s^2 + \dots + s^{m-1} = 0$)
with Reidemeister torsion given by

$$\tau(C(L(m, n); \mathbb{C})) = (1-s)(1-s^2) \in \mathbb{C} / \{f(\pm \mathbb{Z}_m)\}$$

- The Reidemeister torsion of $L(m, n)$ fishes out the topologically relevant part of \mathcal{N} from $L(m, n)$

Theorem (Franz, Ruff, Whitehead (1940))

(i) The following conditions are equivalent:

- $L(m, n) \cong$ homotopy equivalent to $L(m, n')$
- $n \equiv \pm n' r^2 \pmod{m}$ for some $r \in \mathbb{Z}_m^*$.

(ii) The following conditions are equivalent:

- $L(m, n) \cong$ homeomorphic to $L(m, n')$
- $n \equiv \pm n' r^2 \pmod{m}$ with $r \equiv 1$ or $n \pmod{m}$,
- - - - - -

Example: $L(5, 1)$ is not homotopy equivalent to $L(5, 2)$.

Example: $L(7, 1)$ is homotopy equivalent but not homeomorphic to $L(7, 2)$.