

6.2.6. By Theorem 6.6, x can be uniquely written as $x = u + v$, where $u \in W$ and $v \in W^\perp$. Since $x \notin W$, we have $v \neq 0$. Let $y = v$, then

$$\langle x, y \rangle = \langle u + v, v \rangle = \langle u, v \rangle + \langle v, v \rangle = \|v\|^2 > 0.$$

□

6.2.7. \Rightarrow Since β is a basis for W . If $v \in \beta$, then $v \in W$. So for $z \in W^\perp$, we have $\langle z, v \rangle = 0$, for all $v \in \beta$.

\Leftarrow Since β is a basis for W . For all $u \in W$, $u = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i v_i$, where a_1, \dots, a_n are scalars and $v_1, \dots, v_n \in \beta$. Hence, by assumption, we have

$$\langle z, \sum_{i=1}^n a_i v_i \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^n \bar{a}_i \langle z, v_i \rangle = 0.$$

this implies that $z \in W^\perp$.

□

6.2.8. We proceed by induction on n . For $n = 1$, the statement $v_1 = w_1$ holds by the Gram-Schmidt process. Suppose that the statement holds for $n = k - 1$. Now consider the orthogonal set of nonzero vectors $\{w_1, \dots, w_n\}$. By induction hypothesis, we know that $v_1 = w_1, \dots, v_{k-1} = w_{k-1}$. Then the Gram-Schmidt process says that

$$v_k = w_k - \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \frac{\langle w_k, v_i \rangle}{\|v_i\|^2} v_i = w_k - 0 = w_k.$$

This completes the proof.

□

6.2.11. \Rightarrow For $i, j = 1, 2, \dots, n$,

$$(AA^*)_{ij} = \langle v_i, v_j \rangle$$

where v_i is the i -th row vector of A . If $AA^* = I$, then

$$\langle v_i, v_j \rangle = \delta_{ij}, \quad i, j = 1, \dots, n.$$

Hence $\{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$ forms an orthonormal basis for \mathcal{C}^n .

\Leftarrow For $i = 1, \dots, n$, let v_i be the i -th row vector of A such that $\{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$ forms an orthonormal basis for \mathcal{C}^n . Then $(AA^*)_{ij} = \langle v_i, v_j \rangle = \delta_{ij}$ for $i, j = 1, \dots, n$. This implies that $AA^* = I$. □

6.2.13(a). If $v \in S^\perp$, then v is orthogonal to all vectors in S . Since $S_0 \subseteq S$, we have v is orthogonal to all vectors in S_0 . Hence $v \in S_0^\perp$. □

6.2.13(b). If $v \in S$, then v is orthogonal to all vectors in S^\perp . This means that $v \in (S^\perp)^\perp$, i.e. $S \subseteq (S^\perp)^\perp$. Since we know that $(S^\perp)^\perp$ is a subspace, we have $\text{span}(S) \subseteq (S^\perp)^\perp$. □

6.2.13(c). By (b), we have $W \subseteq (W^\perp)^\perp$. By 6.2.6 we know that, if $x \notin W$, then there exists $y \in W^\perp$ such that $\langle x, y \rangle \neq 0$, i.e. $x \notin (W^\perp)^\perp$. This implies that if $x \in (W^\perp)^\perp$, then $x \in W$ and, hence, $(W^\perp)^\perp \subseteq W$. Therefore $W = (W^\perp)^\perp$. \square

6.2.13(d). By Theorem 6.6, we know that $V = W + W^\perp$. If $v \in W \cap W^\perp$, then $\langle v, v \rangle = \|v\|^2 = 0$. Hence $v = 0$. By combining these facts, we conclude that $V = W \oplus W^\perp$. \square

6.2.15(a). By Theorem 6.5, we have

$$x = \sum_{i=1}^n \langle x, v_i \rangle v_i, \quad y = \sum_{j=1}^n \langle y, v_j \rangle v_j.$$

Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle x, y \rangle &= \left\langle \sum_{i=1}^n \langle x, v_i \rangle v_i, \sum_{j=1}^n \langle y, v_j \rangle v_j \right\rangle \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n \langle x, v_i \rangle \overline{\langle y, v_j \rangle} \langle v_i, v_j \rangle \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \langle x, v_i \rangle \overline{\langle y, v_i \rangle}. \end{aligned}$$

\square

6.2.15(b). Let $\beta = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$ be an orthonormal basis for V . Then

$$\phi_\beta(x) = [x]_\beta = \begin{pmatrix} \langle x, v_1 \rangle \\ \langle x, v_2 \rangle \\ \vdots \\ \langle x, v_n \rangle \end{pmatrix}, \quad \phi_\beta(y) = [y]_\beta = \begin{pmatrix} \langle y, v_1 \rangle \\ \langle y, v_2 \rangle \\ \vdots \\ \langle y, v_n \rangle \end{pmatrix},$$

where $\phi_\beta : V \rightarrow F^n$ is the standard representation of V with respect to the basis β defined by $\phi_\beta(x) = [x]_\beta$. By combining these equalities with (a), we conclude that $\langle \phi_\beta(x), \phi_\beta(y) \rangle' = \langle [x]_\beta, [y]_\beta \rangle' = \langle x, y \rangle$. \square

6.2.18. Let $f \in W_o$ be an odd function, then, for any even function $g \in W_e$, we have

$$fg(x) = f(x)g(x) = -f(-x)g(-x) = -fg(-x),$$

i.e. fg is an odd function. Since fg is an odd function, then

$$\langle f, g \rangle = \int_{-1}^1 f(t)g(t)dt = 0.$$

Hence $W_o \subseteq W_e^\perp$.

Let f be an arbitrary function, then f can be written as $f = g + h$, where

$$g(x) = \frac{1}{2}(f(x) + f(-x)) \quad \text{and} \quad h(x) = \frac{1}{2}(f(x) - f(-x)).$$

It is easy to see that $g(x) = g(-x)$ is an even function and $-h(x) = h(-x)$ is an odd function. If $f \in W_e^\perp$, then we have

$$0 = \langle f, g \rangle = \langle g + h, g \rangle = \langle g, g \rangle + \langle h, g \rangle = \|g\|^2,$$

since $\langle h, g \rangle = 0$. So $g = 0$ and $f = h \in W_o$ is an odd function. Hence $W_e^\perp \subseteq W_o$.
Therefore $W_e^\perp = W_o$. □