

Analysis

1. (Arithmetic mean \geq geometric mean)

$x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n > 0, \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n > 0, \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \dots + \alpha_n = 1$. Show that $\alpha_1 x_1 + \alpha_2 x_2 + \dots + \alpha_n x_n \geq x_1^{\alpha_1} x_2^{\alpha_2} \dots x_n^{\alpha_n}$.

Proof :

$f(x) = -\ln x$ is convex on $(0, \infty)$

($\because f'(x) = -\frac{1}{x} \Rightarrow f''(x) = \frac{1}{x^2} \Rightarrow f(x)$ is convex)

$\Rightarrow f(\alpha_1 x_1 + \alpha_2 x_2 + \dots + \alpha_n x_n) \leq \alpha_1 f(x_1) + \dots + \alpha_n f(x_n)$

i.e. $-\ln(\alpha_1 x_1 + \alpha_2 x_2 + \dots + \alpha_n x_n) \leq \alpha_1(-\ln x_1) + \dots + \alpha_n(-\ln x_n)$

$\Rightarrow -\ln(\alpha_1 x_1 + \alpha_2 x_2 + \dots + \alpha_n x_n) \leq -\ln(x_1^{\alpha_1} x_2^{\alpha_2} \dots x_n^{\alpha_n})$

$\Rightarrow \ln(\alpha_1 x_1 + \alpha_2 x_2 + \dots + \alpha_n x_n) \geq \ln(x_1^{\alpha_1} x_2^{\alpha_2} \dots x_n^{\alpha_n})$

$\Rightarrow \alpha_1 x_1 + \alpha_2 x_2 + \dots + \alpha_n x_n \geq x_1^{\alpha_1} x_2^{\alpha_2} \dots x_n^{\alpha_n}$ □

2. $A(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_m)$ is a nonnegative integer for $i_1 = 1, 2, \dots, n,$
 $i_2 = 1, 2, \dots, n, \dots, i_m = 1, 2, \dots, n.$ ($m \geq 2$)

Let

$$\begin{aligned} S(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_m) &= \sum_{i_1=1}^n A(i_1, a_2, \dots, a_m) \\ &\quad + \sum_{i_2=1}^n A(a_1, i_2, \dots, a_m) \\ &\quad \vdots \\ &\quad + \sum_{i_m=1}^n A(a_1, a_2, \dots, i_m) \end{aligned}$$

Suppose $S(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_m) \geq n$ whenever $A(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_m) = 0$

$$\text{Let } S = \sum_{i_1=1}^n \sum_{i_2=1}^n \dots \sum_{i_m=1}^n A(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_m)$$

Show that $S > \frac{n^m}{m+1}$

Proof :

For any $k, 1 \leq k \leq m,$

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{a_1=1}^n \sum_{a_2=1}^n \dots \sum_{a_m=1}^n \sum_{i_k=1}^n A(a_1, \dots, i_k, \dots, a_m) \\ &= \sum_{a_1=1}^n \sum_{a_2=1}^n \dots \sum_{a_{k-1}=1}^n \sum_{a_k=1}^n \sum_{a_{k+1}=1}^n \dots \sum_{a_m=1}^n \sum_{i_k=1}^n A(a_1, \dots, i_k, \dots, a_m) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \sum_{a_1=1}^n \sum_{a_2=1}^n \cdots \sum_{a_{k-1}=1}^n n \left(\sum_{a_{k+1}=1}^n \cdots \sum_{a_m=1}^n \sum_{i_k=1}^n A(a_1, \dots, i_k, \dots, a_m) \right) \\
&= n \sum_{a_1=1}^n \sum_{a_2=1}^n \cdots \sum_{a_{k-1}=1}^n \sum_{a_{k+1}=1}^n \cdots \sum_{a_m=1}^n \sum_{i_k=1}^n A(a_1, \dots, i_k, \dots, a_m) \\
&= nS
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\Rightarrow & \sum_{a_1=1}^n \sum_{a_2=1}^n \cdots \sum_{a_m=1}^n S(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_m) \\
&= \sum_{a_1=1}^n \sum_{a_2=1}^n \cdots \sum_{a_m=1}^n \sum_{i_1=1}^n A(i_1, a_2, \dots, a_m) \\
&+ \sum_{a_1=1}^n \sum_{a_2=1}^n \cdots \sum_{a_m=1}^n \sum_{i_2=1}^n A(a_1, i_2, \dots, a_m) \\
&+ \cdots \\
&+ \sum_{a_1=1}^n \sum_{a_2=1}^n \cdots \sum_{a_m=1}^n \sum_{i_m=1}^n A(a_1, a_2, \dots, i_m) \\
&\quad (\because \text{by def of } S(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_m)) \\
&= mnS \dots\dots\dots (1)
\end{aligned}$$

Let $Z = |\{(i_1, \dots, i_m) : A(i_1, \dots, i_m) = 0\}|$

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{Then } S + Z &\geq \sum_{a_1=1}^n \sum_{a_2=1}^n \cdots \sum_{a_m=1}^n 1 \quad (\text{why?}) \\
&= n^m
\end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow Z \geq n^m - S \quad \dots\dots\dots (2)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
S(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_m) &= \sum_{i_1=1}^n A(i_1, a_2, \dots, a_m) \\
&+ \sum_{i_2=1}^n A(a_1, i_2, \dots, a_m) \\
&+ \cdots \\
&+ \sum_{i_m=1}^n A(a_1, a_2, \dots, i_m) \\
&\geq A(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_m) \\
&+ A(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_m) \\
&+ \cdots \\
&+ A(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_m) \quad (\text{why?}) \\
&= mA(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_m) \quad \dots\dots\dots (3)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{a_1=1}^n \sum_{a_2=1}^n \cdots \sum_{a_m=1}^n S(a_1, \dots, a_m) &= \sum_{(a_1, \dots, a_m)} S(a_1, \dots, a_m) \\
&= \sum_{A(a_1, \dots, a_m)=0} S(a_1, \dots, a_m) + \sum_{A(a_1, \dots, a_m) \neq 0} S(a_1, \dots, a_m) \\
&\geq Zn + \sum_{A(a_1, \dots, a_m) \neq 0} mA(a_1, \dots, a_m) \\
&\quad (\because \text{assumption and (3)}) \\
&= Zn + m \sum_{A(a_1, \dots, a_m) \neq 0} A(a_1, \dots, a_m) \\
&= Zn + m \sum_{(a_1, \dots, a_m)} A(a_1, \dots, a_m) \\
&= Zn + mS \\
&\geq (n^m - S)n + mS \quad (\because (2)) \\
&= n^{m+1} + (m - n)S \quad \dots \dots (4)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
(1), (4) &\Rightarrow mnS \geq n^{m+1} + (m - n)S \\
&\Rightarrow (mn + n - m)S \geq n^{m+1} \\
&\Rightarrow S \geq \frac{n^{m+1}}{mn + n - m} > \frac{n^{m+1}}{mn + n} = \frac{n^m}{m + 1} \quad \square
\end{aligned}$$

3. Definition: If $r > 0$, $f : R \rightarrow R$ and $f(x + r) = f(x)$ for all $x \in R$, then f is function with quasiperiod r .

Suppose $r > 0$, $g : R \rightarrow R$ such that $g(x + r) - g(x)$ is a function with quasiperiod r .

Assume that g is a bounded function. Show that g is with quasiperiod r .

Proof :

Suppose $|g(x)| \leq C$ for all x . $g(x + r) - g(x)$ is a function with quasiperiod r

$$\begin{aligned}
\Rightarrow g(x + r) - g(x) &= g(x + 2r) - g(x + r) \\
&= g(x + 3r) - g(x + 2r) \\
&\quad \vdots \\
&= g(x + (n + 1)r) - g(x + nr) \\
\Rightarrow n(g(x + r) - g(x)) &= g(x + (n + 1)r) - g(x + r) \\
\Rightarrow (g(x + r) - g(x)) &= \frac{1}{n} (g(x + (n + 1)r) - g(x + r)) \\
\Rightarrow |(g(x + r) - g(x))| &\leq \frac{1}{n} |g(x + (n + 1)r) - g(x + r)| \\
&\leq \frac{1}{n} 2C
\end{aligned}$$

$\Rightarrow g(x + r) = g(x)$ for all x . □

4. Let $\alpha > \beta > 0$, $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, and $\frac{\alpha}{\beta} = \frac{m}{n}$ where $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Suppose that $f(x + \alpha) - f(x)$ is a function with quasiperiod β

Show that

- (1) $f(x + n\alpha) - f(x)$ is a function with quasiperiod $\alpha - \beta$
- (2) $f(x + mn(\alpha - \beta)) - f(x)$ is a function with quasiperiod $\alpha - \beta$
- (3) $f(x)$ is a function with period $mn(\alpha - \beta)$ if f is a bounded function.

Proof :

Note : $f(x + \alpha) - f(x)$ is with quasiperiod β

$\implies f(x + \beta) - f(x)$ is quasiperiod α (why ?)

Hence $f(x + \alpha) - f(x)$ is with quasiperiod $m\beta$

$f(x + \beta) - f(x)$ is with quasiperiod $n\alpha$ (why ?)

$\implies f(x + \alpha) - f(x) = f(x + \alpha + m\beta) - f(x + m\beta)$

$f(x + \beta) - f(x) = f(x + \beta + n\alpha) - f(x + n\alpha)$

$\implies f(x + \alpha) - f(x + \beta) = f(x + \alpha + n\alpha) - f(x + \beta + n\alpha)$

$\implies f(x + \alpha - \beta) - f(x) = f(x + \alpha - \beta + n\alpha) - f(x + n\alpha)$ (\because replace x by $x - \beta$)

$\implies f(x + n\alpha) - f(x) = f(x + n\alpha + (\alpha - \beta)) - f(x + (\alpha - \beta))$

$\implies f(x + n\alpha) - f(x)$ is a function with quasiperiod $\alpha - \beta$ (1)

$\implies f(x + (\alpha - \beta)) - f(x)$ is a function with quasiperiod $n\alpha = m\beta$ (\because Note)

$\implies f(x + (\alpha - \beta)) - f(x)$ is with quasiperiod $mn\alpha$

$f(x + (\alpha - \beta)) - f(x)$ is with quasiperiod $mn\beta$

$\implies f(x + mn\alpha) - f(x)$ is with quasiperiod $\alpha - \beta$

$f(x + mn\beta) - f(x)$ is with quasiperiod $\alpha - \beta$

$\implies f(x + mn\alpha) - f(x + mn\beta)$ is with quasiperiod $\alpha - \beta$

$\implies f(x + mn(\alpha - \beta)) - f(x)$ is with quasiperiod $\alpha - \beta$ (2)

$\implies f(x + mn(\alpha - \beta)) - f(x)$ is with quasiperiod $mn(\alpha - \beta)$

$\implies f(x)$ is is with quasiperiod $mn(\alpha - \beta)$ (\because f is bounded and apply problem 3)
..... (3) \square

5. Let n and ℓ be integers such that $n \geq 2$ and $\ell \geq n + 1$.

Suppose that $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_{n-1}, m_1, m_2, \dots, m_\ell$ are nonnegative numbers such that $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_{n-1}$, $m_1 \geq m_2 \geq \dots \geq m_n \geq \dots \geq m_\ell$ and

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\ell} m_i = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \lambda_i (n - i),$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^k m_i \leq \sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i (n - i) \quad \text{for } k = 1, 2, \dots, n - 2.$$

Let $m'_1 \geq m'_2 \geq \cdots \geq m'_{\ell-1}$ be a rearrangement of $m_1, m_2, \cdots, m_{n-1}, m_n + m_\ell, m_{n+1}, m_{n+2}, \cdots, m_{\ell-1}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^{\ell-1} m'_i &= \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \lambda_i(n-i) \quad \text{and} \\ \sum_{i=1}^k m'_i &\leq \sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i(n-i) \quad \text{for } k = 1, 2, \cdots, n-2. \end{aligned}$$

Proof :

The required equality follows from the fact that $\sum_{i=1}^{\ell-1} m'_i = \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} m_i$. Now we prove the inequalities. Suppose that $m'_t = m_n + m_\ell$ where $t \leq n$. We can see that

$$m'_i = \begin{cases} m_i, & i = 1, 2, \cdots, t-1 \\ m_{i-1}, & i = t+1, t+2, \cdots, n. \end{cases}$$

Suppose, to the contrary of the conclusion, that $\sum_{i=1}^j m'_i > \sum_{i=1}^j \lambda_i(n-i)$ for some integer j where $t \leq j \leq n-2$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} 2m_n &\geq m_n + m_\ell \\ &= m'_t \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^j m'_i - \sum_{i=1}^{j-1} m_i \\ &> \sum_{i=1}^j \lambda_i(n-i) - \sum_{i=1}^{j-1} \lambda_i(n-i) \\ &= \lambda_j(n-j). \end{aligned}$$

Hence $m_n > \lambda_j(n-j)/2$.

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \lambda_i(n-i) &= \sum_{i=1}^{\ell-1} m'_i \\
&\geq \sum_{i=1}^j m'_i + \sum_{i=j+1}^n m'_i \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^j m'_i + \sum_{i=j}^{n-1} m_i \\
&\geq \sum_{i=1}^j m'_i + (n-j)m_n \\
&> \sum_{i=1}^j \lambda_i(n-i) + \lambda_j(n-j)^2/2 \\
&\geq \sum_{i=1}^j \lambda_i(n-i) + \lambda_j \sum_{i=j+1}^{n-1} (n-i) \\
&\geq \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \lambda_i(n-i).
\end{aligned}$$

Thus we obtain $\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \lambda_i(n-i) > \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \lambda_i(n-i)$; this absurdity confirms the inequalities. \square

6. An object is moving to the right on the real line. Let $u(s)$ denote the velocity of the object at the position s on the real line. Then the time needed for the object moving from position s_1 to position s_2 ($s_1 < s_2$) is

$$\int_{s_1}^{s_2} \frac{1}{u(s)} ds.$$

Proof Let $s(t)$ and $v(t)$ denote the position and the velocity, respectively of the object at time t . Suppose that $s(t_1) = s_1$, and $s(t_2) = s_2$. First assume that $u(s) \neq 0$ for $s_1 \leq s \leq s_2$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{s_1}^{s_2} \frac{1}{u(s)} ds &= \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \frac{1}{u(s(t))} ds(t) \\
&= \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \frac{1}{v(t)} s'(t) dt \\
&= t_2 - t_1.
\end{aligned}$$

And $t_2 - t_1$ is just the time needed for the object to move from s_1 to s_2 .

Next consider the case that $u(s) = 0$ for some s , $s_1 \leq s \leq s_2$. For example, $u(s_1) = 0$

and $u(s) \neq 0$ for $s_1 < s \leq s_2$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{s_1}^{s_2} \frac{1}{u(s)} ds &= \lim_{s' \rightarrow s_1^+} \int_{s'}^{s_2} \frac{1}{u(s)} ds \\ &= \lim_{s' \rightarrow s_1^+} t_2 - t' \quad (\text{where } s(t') = s') \\ &= \lim_{t' \rightarrow t_1^+} t_2 - t' \\ &= t_2 - t_1. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. □

7. Two cars left city A at the same time and moved forward along a straight highway which connects city A and city B. These two cars arrived city B at the same time. Show that there must exist someplace (neither city A nor city B) on the highway where two cars had the same velocity.

Proof Let C_1, C_2 denote the two cars. Use the interval $[0, 1]$ to represent the straight highway which connects city A and city B. Let $u_1(s)$ be the velocity of car C_1 at position s ($0 \leq s \leq 1$), and $u_2(s)$ be that of car C_2 . Assume that both $u_1(s)$ and $u_2(s)$ are continuous functions. We need to show that $u_1(s) = u_2(s)$ for some s with $0 < s < 1$. Suppose, to the contrary, that $u_1(s) \neq u_2(s)$ for all s with $0 < s < 1$. Then either $0 \leq u_1(s) < u_2(s)$ for all $0 < s < 1$ or $0 \leq u_2(s) < u_1(s)$ for all $0 < s < 1$, which implies that

$$\text{either } \int_0^1 \frac{1}{u_2(s)} ds < \int_0^1 \frac{1}{u_1(s)} ds \quad \text{or} \quad \int_0^1 \frac{1}{u_1(s)} ds < \int_0^1 \frac{1}{u_2(s)} ds.$$

Using Problem 6, we see that the time needed for car C_1 to move from city A to city B and that for car C_2 are not equal. This is a contradiction. □