

MA 8020: Numerical Analysis II

Numerical Partial Differential Equations



Suh-Yuh Yang (楊肅煜)

Department of Mathematics, National Central University
Jhongli District, Taoyuan City 320317, Taiwan

E-mail: syyang@math.ncu.edu.tw
<http://www.math.ncu.edu.tw/~syyang/>

First version: May 18, 2019 Last updated: June 4, 2025

What are PDEs?

- Most physical phenomena in fluid dynamics, heat transfer, electricity, magnetism, or mechanics can be described in general by partial differential equations (PDEs).
- A PDE is an equation that contains partial derivatives and can be written in the form of

$$F(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, u_{x_1}, u_{x_2}, \dots, u_{x_n}, u_{x_1 x_1}, u_{x_1 x_2}, \dots) = 0.$$

$u(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$ is a function of n variables

$x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)^\top \in \mathbb{R}^n$, where u is called the dependent variable and x_i is called the independent variable.

$u_{x_i} = \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i}$ is the partial derivative of u in the x_i direction.

- A PDE may have one solution, many solutions, or no solution.
- Some constraints are often added to the PDE so that the solution is unique. These are often called boundary or initial conditions.

Kinds of PDEs

- **Linearity:**
 - $F(\dots) = u_{x_1 x_1} + x_1 u_{x_2 x_2}$ is linear.
 - $F(\dots) = u_{x_1 x_1} + x_1 u_{x_2 x_2} + u^2$ is nonlinear.
- **Order of the PDEs:** The order of the highest derivative that occurs in F is called the order of the PDE. For example,
 - $u_t = u_{xx}$, second order.
 - $u_t = uu_{xxx} + \sin x$, third order.

Second-order linear equations in two variables

Second-order linear equation in two variables takes a general form of

$$Au_{x_1x_1} + Bu_{x_1x_2} + Cu_{x_2x_2} + Du_{x_1} + Eu_{x_2} + Fu = G.$$

- **Parabolic:** parabolic equations describe heat flow and diffusion processes and satisfy $B^2 - 4AC = 0$. For example,
heat equation: $u_t = u_{xx}$.
- **Hyperbolic:** hyperbolic equations describe vibrating system and wave motion and satisfy $B^2 - 4AC > 0$. For example,
wave equation: $u_{tt} = u_{xx}$.
- **Elliptic:** elliptic equations describe steady-state phenomena and satisfy $B^2 - 4AC < 0$. For example,
Poisson's equation: $-(u_{xx} + u_{yy}) = f(x, y)$.

Application of Poisson's equation in heat transfer

Let Ω be an open and bounded domain with boundary $\partial\Omega$. Consider

$$-(u_{x_1 x_1} + u_{x_2 x_2}) = f(x_1, x_2) \quad \text{on } \Omega$$

is used for describing steady state temperature distribution of some material. Three types of boundary conditions:

- **Dirichlet condition:** $u = g(s)$ on $\partial\Omega$, temperature specified on the boundary.

- **Neumann condition:** $\frac{\partial u}{\partial n} = h(s)$ on $\partial\Omega$, n is an outward unit normal vector, heat flow across the boundary (flux) specified.

Note that $\frac{\partial u}{\partial n} = \nabla u \cdot n$.

- **Mixed condition:** $\frac{\partial u}{\partial n} + \lambda u = g(s)$ on $\partial\Omega$.

1-D Heat equation

- Initial-boundary value problem (IBVP): find $u(x, t)$ such that

$$\begin{cases} u_t = u_{xx} & t > 0, 0 < x < 1, \\ u(x, 0) = g(x) & 0 \leq x \leq 1, \\ u(0, t) = a(t) & t \geq 0, \\ u(1, t) = b(t) & t \geq 0. \end{cases}$$

- Notations:** $u(x, t)$: unknown temperature in the rod, x is spatial coordinates and t is time, $u_{xx} = \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2}$ and $u_t = \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}$.

Finite difference method

- Let

$$\begin{cases} t_j &= jk & j \geq 0, \\ x_i &= ih & 0 \leq i \leq n+1. \end{cases}$$

Note that $k \neq h$ in general.

- Recall some finite difference approximations:

$$f'(x) \approx \frac{1}{h} (f(x+h) - f(x)),$$

$$f'(x) \approx \frac{1}{2h} (f(x+h) - f(x-h)),$$

$$f''(x) \approx \frac{1}{h^2} (f(x+h) - 2f(x) + f(x-h)).$$

Finite difference method: explicit method

- Let $v \approx u$. Then

$$\frac{1}{k} (v(x, t+k) - v(x, t)) = \frac{1}{h^2} (v(x+h, t) - 2v(x, t) + v(x-h, t)).$$

By defining $v_{ij} = v(x_i, t_j)$, we have

$$\frac{1}{k} (v_{i,j+1} - v_{i,j}) = \frac{1}{h^2} (v_{i+1,j} - 2v_{i,j} + v_{i-1,j}).$$

- Rewrite the above equation to obtain

$$v_{i,j+1} = \frac{k}{h^2} (v_{i+1,j} - 2v_{i,j} + v_{i-1,j}) + v_{i,j}$$

or

$$v_{i,j+1} = (sv_{i-1,j} + (1-2s)v_{i,j} + sv_{i+1,j}),$$

with $s = k/h^2$.

Algorithm

input n, k, M

$h \leftarrow \frac{1}{n+1}$ and $s \leftarrow \frac{k}{h^2}$

$w_i = g(ih)$ ($0 \leq i \leq n + 1$)

$t \leftarrow 0$

output $0, t, (w_0, w_1, \dots, w_{n+1})$

for $j = 1$ **to** M **do**

$v_0 \leftarrow a(jk)$ and $v_{n+1} \leftarrow b(jk)$

for $i = 1$ **to** n **do**

$v_i = (sw_{i-1} + (1 - 2s)w_i + sw_{i+1})$

end do

$t \leftarrow jk$

output $j, t, (v_0, v_1, \dots, v_{n+1})$

$(w_1, w_2, \dots, w_n) \leftarrow (v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n)$

end do

Stability analysis

- Assume that $a(t) = b(t) = 0$. At $t_j = jk$, define $V_j = (v_{1,j}, v_{2,j}, \dots, v_{n,j})^\top$. Then the explicit difference equations becomes $V_{j+1} = AV_j$, where

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1-2s & s & & & & \\ s & 1-2s & s & & & \\ & s & 1-2s & s & & \\ & & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \\ & & & s & 1-2s & s \\ & & & & s & 1-2s \end{bmatrix}.$$

- Note that $v_{0,j} = v_{n+1,j} = 0$. We know that exact solution approaches 0 as $t \rightarrow \infty$ and therefore the temperature will reduce to zero as $t \rightarrow \infty$.

Stability analysis (cont'd)

- For the numerical approximation,

$$V_{j+1} = AV_j = A(AV_{j-1}) = \cdots = A^{j+1}V_0.$$

- Recall the following two statements are equivalent (see Section 4.6, p. 215)
 - $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} A^j V = 0$ for all vectors $V \in \mathbb{R}^n$.
 - $\rho(A) < 1$, where $\rho(A)$ is the spectral radius of matrix A .
- So $s = k/h^2$ should be chosen such that $\rho(A) < 1$.

The eigenvalues of A are: $\lambda_j = 1 - 2s(1 - \cos \theta_j)$, where $\theta_j = \frac{j\pi}{n+1}$, $1 \leq j \leq n$.

For $\rho(A) < 1$ we require $-1 < 1 - 2s(1 - \cos \theta_j) < 1$.

This is true if and only if $s < (1 - \cos \theta_j)^{-1}$.

Stability analysis (cont'd)

- The greatest restriction on s occurs when $\cos \theta_j = -1$, which does not happen since the largest $\theta_{j=n} = \frac{n\pi}{n+1}$. So we have $0 < s \leq 1/2$ (i.e., $k/h^2 \leq 1/2$) $\iff k \leq \frac{h^2}{2}$.
- For example, $h = 0.01 \implies k \leq 5 \times 10^{-5} \Rightarrow$ For $0 \leq t \leq 10$, the number of time step: 0.5×10^6 .
- **Open question:** Find eigenvalue of A . Note $A = I - sB$, where

$$B = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & -1 & & & \\ -1 & 2 & -1 & & \\ & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \\ & & & -1 & 2 & -1 \\ & & & & -1 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$$

If x_i is an eigenvector of B with eigenvalue μ_i then

$$(I - sB)x_i = x_i - s\mu_i x_i = (1 - s\mu_i)x_i = Ax_i.$$

Hence $\lambda_i = 1 - s\mu_i$ is an eigenvalue of A .

Lemma on tridiagonal matrix eigenvalues and eigenvectors

Let $x = (\sin \theta, \sin 2\theta, \dots, \sin n\theta)^\top$. If $\theta = \frac{j\pi}{n+1}$, then x is an eigenvector of B corresponding to the eigenvalue $2 - 2 \cos \theta$.

Proof: see textbook, page 621.

Finite difference method: implicit method

- We continue to study the initial-boundary value problem: find $u(x, t)$ such that

$$\begin{cases} u_t = u_{xx} & t > 0, 0 < x < 1, \\ u(x, 0) = g(x) & 0 \leq x \leq 1, \\ u(0, t) = 0 & t \geq 0, \\ u(1, t) = 0 & t \geq 0. \end{cases}$$

- The finite-difference equation :

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{k} (v(x, t) - v(x, t - k)) &= \frac{1}{h^2} (v(x + h, t) - 2v(x, t) + v(x - h, t)). \\ \implies \frac{1}{k} (v_{i,j} - v_{i,j-1}) &= \frac{1}{h^2} (v_{i+1,j} - 2v_{i,j} + v_{i-1,j}). \end{aligned}$$

- Let $s = \frac{k}{h^2}$ and rearrange to obtain

$$-sv_{i+1,j} + (1 + 2s)v_{i,j} - sv_{i-1,j} = v_{i,j-1}, \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq n.$$

Stability analysis

- Let $V_j = (v_{1,j}, v_{2,j}, \dots, v_{n,j})^\top$ then the method can be written as $AV_j = V_{j-1}$, where A is given by

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1+2s & -s & & & \\ -s & 1+2s & -s & & \\ & & \ddots & & \\ & & & \ddots & \\ & & & & -s & 1+2s \end{bmatrix}.$$

- Solve $V_j = A^{-1}V_{j-1} = A^{-1}A^{-1}V_{j-2} \cdots = A^{-j}V_0$.
- V_0 is known, $u(ih, 0)$ initial condition. Here we need $\rho(A^{-1}) < 1$ for stability.

Stability analysis (cont'd)

- Since $A = I + sB$, where

$$B = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & -1 & & & \\ -1 & 2 & -1 & & \\ & & \ddots & & \\ & & & \ddots & \\ & & & & -1 & 2 \end{bmatrix},$$

the eigenvalues of A are given by $\lambda_i = 1 + s\mu_i = 1 + 2s(1 - \cos \theta_i)$ with $\theta_i = \frac{i\pi}{n+1}$, $1 \leq i \leq n$.

- Clearly, $\lambda_i > 1$, since $\lambda_i = 1 + 2s(1 - \cos \theta_i)$

$$\implies \lambda_i > 1 \implies \rho(A^{-1}) < 1.$$

\implies The method is stable for all h and k .

- Note that we need to solve a tridiagonal system of linear equation to advance each time step (use subroutine §4.3 to solve tridiagonal).

Algorithm

input n, k, M

$$h \leftarrow \frac{1}{n+1}, \quad s \leftarrow \frac{k}{h^2}, \quad v_i = g(ih) \ (1 \leq i \leq n), \quad t \leftarrow 0$$

output $0, t, (v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n)$

for $i = 1$ **to** $n - 1$ **do**

$$c_i = -s \text{ and } a_i = -s$$

end do

for $j = 1$ **to** M **do**

for $i = 1$ **to** n **do**

$$d_i = 1 + 2s$$

end do

call $\text{tri}(n, a, d, c, v; v)$

$$t \leftarrow jk$$

output $j, t, (v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n)$

end do

Crank-Nicolson method

We can combine the previous two methods into a θ -method:

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\theta}{h^2} (v_{i+1,j} - 2v_{i,j} + v_{i-1,j}) + \frac{1-\theta}{h^2} (v_{i+1,j-1} - 2v_{i,j-1} + v_{i-1,j-1}) \\ &= \frac{1}{k} (v_{i,j} - v_{i,j-1}). \end{aligned}$$

- $\theta = 0 \Rightarrow$ explicit method.
- $\theta = 1 \Rightarrow$ implicit method.
- $\theta = 1/2 \Rightarrow$ Crank-Nicolson (CN).

Crank-Nicolson method (cont'd)

- Taking $s = \frac{k}{h^2}$ and rewriting the CN method, we obtain

$$-sv_{i-1,j} + (2 + 2s)v_{i,j} - sv_{i+1,j} = sv_{i-1,j-1} + (2 + 2s)v_{i,j-1} + sv_{i+1,j-1}.$$

- Again, let $V_j = (v_{1,j}, v_{2,j}, \dots, v_{n,j})^\top$ and

$$B = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & -1 & & & \\ -1 & 2 & -1 & & \\ & & \ddots & & \\ & & & \ddots & \\ & & & & -1 & 2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

The method can be written in the matrix form

$$(2I + sB)V_j = (2I - sB)V_{j-1}.$$

Stability analysis

- For stability, we need $\rho((2I + sB)^{-1}(2I - sB)) < 1$.
- Set $A = (2I + sB)^{-1}(2I - sB)$ with $V_j = AV_{j-1}$. If x_i is an eigenvector of B then

$$\begin{aligned}(2I - sB)x_i &= 2x_i - sBx_i \\ &= 2x_i - s\mu_i x_i \\ &= (2 - s\mu_i)x_i.\end{aligned}$$

$$(2I + sB)^{-1}(2I - sB)x_i = (2I + sB)^{-1}(2 - s\mu_i)x_i = \dots$$

$\implies x_i$ is also an eigenvector of A with eigenvalues $\frac{2-s\mu_i}{2+s\mu_i}$.

- To have $\rho((2 + sB)^{-1}(2 - sB)) < 1$, we get it if $|(2 + s\mu)^{-1}(2 - s\mu)| < 1$.

Because $\mu_i = 2(1 - \cos \theta_i)$, we see that $0 < \mu_i < 4$.

Thus $|\frac{2-s\mu_i}{2+s\mu_i}| < 1$, $\forall s = \frac{k}{h^2}$.

So, the CN method is an unconditionally stable method.

Error analysis

- Recall the explicit method

$$v_{i,j+1} = s(v_{i-1,j} - 2v_{i,j} + v_{i+1,j}) + v_{i,j}.$$

Let $u_{i,j}$ be the exact solution at (x_i, t_j) . Then

$$e_{i,j} = u_{i,j} - v_{i,j}.$$

- We replace v by $u - e$ to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} u_{i,j+1} - e_{i,j+1} &= s(u_{i-1,j} - 2u_{i,j} + u_{i+1,j}) + u_{i,j} \\ &\quad - s(e_{i-1,j} - 2e_{i,j} + e_{i+1,j}) - e_{i,j}. \\ e_{i,j+1} &= (se_{i-1,j} + (1 - 2s)e_{i,j} + se_{i+1,j}) \\ &\quad - s(u_{i-1,j} - 2u_{i,j} + u_{i+1,j}) + (u_{i,j+1} - u_{i,j}). \end{aligned}$$

Error analysis (cont'd)

Using these formulas,

$$\begin{aligned}f''(x) &= \frac{1}{h^2} \left(f(x+h) - 2f(x) + f(x-h) \right) - \frac{h^2}{12} f^{(4)}(\xi), \\g'(t) &= \frac{1}{k} \left(g(t+k) - g(t) \right) - \frac{k}{2} g''(\tau),\end{aligned}$$

with $sh^2 = k$ and $u_{xx} = u_t$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}e_{i,j+1} &= (se_{i-1,j} + (1-2s)e_{i,j} + se_{i+1,j}) - s(h^2 u_{xx}(x_i, t_j) + \frac{h^4}{12} u_{xxxx}(\xi_i, t_j)) \\&\quad + (ku_t(x_i, t_j) + \frac{k^2}{2} u_{tt}(x_i, \tau_j)), \\ \Rightarrow e_{i,j+1} &= (se_{i-1,j} + (1-2s)e_{i,j} + se_{i+1,j}) \\&\quad - kh^2 \left(\frac{1}{12} u_{xxxx}(\xi_i, t_j) - \frac{s}{2} u_{tt}(x_i, \tau_j) \right).\end{aligned}$$

Error analysis (cont'd)

- Let us confine (x, t) to the compact set

$$S = \{(x, t) : 0 \leq x \leq 1, 0 \leq t \leq T\}.$$

Put $M = \frac{1}{12} \max_S |u_{xxxx}(x, t)| + \frac{1}{2} \max_S |u_{tt}(x, t)|$,

$E_j = (e_{1,j}, e_{2,j}, \dots, e_{n,j})^\top$, $\|E_j\|_\infty = \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} |e_{ij}|$.

- We assume $1 - 2s \geq 0$:

$$\begin{aligned} |e_{i,j+1}| &\leq s|e_{i-1,j}| + (1 - 2s)|e_{ij}| + s|e_{i+1,j}| + kh^2M \\ &\leq s\|E_j\|_\infty + (1 - 2s)\|E_j\|_\infty + s\|E_j\|_\infty + kh^2M \\ &\leq \|E_j\|_\infty + kh^2M. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \|E_{j+1}\|_\infty &\leq \|E_j\|_\infty + kh^2M \leq \|E_{j-1}\|_\infty + 2kh^2M \\ &\leq \dots \leq \|E_0\|_\infty + (j+1)kh^2M. \end{aligned}$$

$$\implies \|E_j\|_\infty \leq jkh^2M \implies \|E_j\|_\infty \leq Th^2M = O(h^2).$$

Numerical differentiation

Assume that $u \in C^4[a, b]$ and $a = x_0 < x_1 < \dots < x_M < x_{M+1} = b$ is a uniform partition of $[a, b]$. Then $h_j = h = \frac{b-a}{M+1}$ for $j = 1, 2, \dots, M+1$. For $i = 1, 2, \dots, M$, we have

$$u(x_i + h) = u(x_i) + u'(x_i)h + \frac{1}{2}u''(x_i)h^2 + \frac{1}{6}u^{(3)}(x_i)h^3 + \frac{1}{24}u^{(4)}(\xi_{i1})h^4,$$
$$u(x_i - h) = u(x_i) - u'(x_i)h + \frac{1}{2}u''(x_i)h^2 - \frac{1}{6}u^{(3)}(x_i)h^3 + \frac{1}{24}u^{(4)}(\xi_{i2})h^4,$$

for some $\xi_{i1} \in (x_i, x_i + h)$ and $\xi_{i2} \in (x_i - h, x_i)$. Therefore, we have

$$u(x_i + h) + u(x_i - h) = 2u(x_i) + u''(x_i)h^2 + \frac{1}{24}\{u^{(4)}(\xi_{i1}) + u^{(4)}(\xi_{i2})\}h^4.$$
$$\therefore u''(x_i) =$$

$$\frac{1}{h^2}\{u(x_i + h) - 2u(x_i) + u(x_i - h)\} - \frac{1}{24}h^2\{u^{(4)}(\xi_{i1}) + u^{(4)}(\xi_{i2})\}.$$

$\because u \in C^4[a, b]$, $\frac{1}{2}\{u^{(4)}(\xi_{i1}) + u^{(4)}(\xi_{i2})\}$ between $u^{(4)}(\xi_{i1})$ & $u^{(4)}(\xi_{i2})$.

\therefore By IVT, $\exists \xi_i$ between ξ_{i1} and ξ_{i2} ($\Rightarrow \xi_i \in (x_i - h, x_i + h)$) such that

$$u^{(4)}(\xi_i) = \frac{1}{2}\{u^{(4)}(\xi_{i1}) + u^{(4)}(\xi_{i2})\}.$$

$$\therefore u''(x_i) = \frac{1}{h^2}\{u(x_i + h) - 2u(x_i) + u(x_i - h)\} - \frac{1}{12}h^2u^{(4)}(\xi_i),$$

for some $\xi_i \in (x_i - h, x_i + h)$. (2nd-order approximation)

FDM for a two-point boundary value problem

- Consider the 1-D two-point BVP:

$$\begin{cases} -u''(x) = f(x) & x \in (0, 1), \\ u(0) = u(1) = 0. \end{cases}$$

- The interval $[0, 1]$ is discretized uniformly by taking the $n + 2$ points, $x_i = ih$, for $i = 0, 1, \dots, n + 1$, where $h = 1/(n + 1)$.
- Let $v_i \approx u(x_i)$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, and $v_0 := u(x_0) = 0$, $v_{n+1} := u(x_{n+1}) = 0$ are known due to the Dirichlet BC.
- If the centered difference approximation is used for u'' , the above equation can be expressed as

$$-\left(\frac{v_{i-1} - 2v_i + v_{i+1}}{h^2}\right) = f_i, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n,$$

where $f_i := f(x_i)$.

Resulting linear system

The linear system obtained is of the form

$$AV = F,$$

where

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & -1 & & & \\ -1 & 2 & -1 & & \\ & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \\ & & -1 & 2 & -1 \\ & & & -1 & 2 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$V = (v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n)^\top \quad \text{and} \quad F = (h^2 f_1, h^2 f_2, \dots, h^2 f_n)^\top.$$

Eigen properties of A

- The matrix A has n eigenvalues, and since A is symmetric, all eigenvalues must be real.
- Note that the eigenvalues of A are given by

$$\lambda_j = 2 - 2 \cos(j\theta) > 0, j = 1, 2, \dots, n,$$

and the eigenvector associated with each λ_j is given by

$$V_j = (\sin(j\theta), \sin(2j\theta), \dots, \sin(nj\theta))^\top,$$

where $\theta = \frac{\pi}{n+1}$.

- $\lambda_{\max} = 2 - 2 \cos(\frac{n\pi}{n+1})$ and $\lambda_{\min} = 2 - 2 \cos(\frac{\pi}{n+1})$.
- What is the condition number of A ?
 $(\sin x = x - x^3/(3!) + x^5/(5!) \dots)$

$$\kappa(A) = \frac{\sin^2 \frac{n\pi}{2(n+1)}}{\sin^2 \frac{\pi}{2(n+1)}} \approx \frac{\sin(\pi/2)}{(\frac{\pi}{2(n+1)})^2} \approx O(n^2) \approx O\left(\frac{1}{h^2}\right).$$

FDM for a 2-D boundary value problem

- Consider Poisson's problem,

$$\begin{cases} -\left(\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_1^2} + \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_2^2}\right) = f & \text{in } \Omega := (0, 1) \times (0, 1), \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases}$$

- Define the mesh size $h = \frac{1}{n+1}$, the collection of mesh points $(x_{1i}, x_{2j}) = (ih, jh)$, the approximate solution at the mesh points $v_{ij} \approx u(x_{1j}, x_{2j})$, $i, j = 0, 1, \dots, n + 1$.

Note: There are n^2 interior points $\approx \frac{1}{h^2}$. (in 3D, $\approx \frac{1}{h^3}$ number of points).

- The FD equations

$$\begin{cases} -\left(\frac{v_{i-1j} - 2v_{ij} + v_{i+1j}}{h^2} + \frac{v_{ij-1} - 2v_{ij} + v_{ij+1}}{h^2}\right) = f_{ij}, \\ v_{0j} = v_{n+1j} = v_{i0} = v_{in+1} = 0. \end{cases}$$

For example $n = 3$: natural ordering

- We order the unknown quantities in the natural ordering

$$V = (v_{11}, v_{21}, v_{31}, v_{12}, v_{22}, v_{n2}, v_{13}, v_{23}, v_{33})^\top.$$

- Then the corresponding linear system can be written as (see Text, page 631)

$$AV = \begin{bmatrix} B & -I & & \\ -I & B & -I & \\ & -I & B & \end{bmatrix} V = F \quad \text{with} \quad B = \begin{bmatrix} 4 & -1 & 0 \\ -1 & 4 & -1 \\ 0 & -1 & 4 \end{bmatrix}.$$

- block-tridiag matrix; symmetric $a_{ij} = a_{ji}$; sparse, number of nonzeros per row ≈ 5 (independent of the mesh size h) number of nonzeros $\approx 5n$ (linear in n).

References

- K. W. Morton and D. F. Mayers, *Numerical Solution of Partial Differential Equations*, Cambridge University Press, 1994.
- K. W. Morton, *Numerical Solution of Convection-Diffusion Problems*, Chapman & Hall, 1996.