MA 8020: Numerical Analysis II Numerical Partial Differential Equations



Suh-Yuh Yang (楊肅煜)

Department of Mathematics, National Central University Jhongli District, Taoyuan City 320317, Taiwan E-mail: syyang@math.ncu.edu.tw http://www.math.ncu.edu.tw/~syyang/

First version: May 18, 2019 Last updated: June 17, 2024

What are PDEs?

- Most physical phenomena in fluid dynamics, heat transfer, electricity, magnetism, or mechanics can be described in general by partial differential equations (PDEs).
- A PDE is an equation that contains partial derivatives and can be written in the form of

$$F(x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_n, u_{x_1}, u_{x_2}, \cdots, u_{x_n}, u_{x_1x_1}, u_{x_1x_2}, \cdots) = 0.$$

 $u(x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_n)$ is a function of n variables $x = (x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_n)^{\top} \in \mathbb{R}^n$, where u is called the dependent variable and x_i is called the independent variable.

 $u_{x_i} = \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i}$ is the partial derivative of u in the x_i direction.

- A PDE may have one solution, many solutions, or no solution.
- Some constrains are often added to the PDE so that the solution is unique. These are often called boundary or initial conditions.

Kinds of PDEs

- Linearity:
 - $F(\cdots) = u_{x_1x_1} + x_1u_{x_2x_2}$ is linear.
 - $F(\cdots) = u_{x_1x_1} + x_1u_{x_2x_2} + u^2$ is nonlinear.
- **Order of the PDEs:** The order of the highest derivative that occurs in *F* is called the order of the PDE. For example,
 - $u_t = u_{xx}$, second order.
 - $u_t = uu_{xxx} + \sin x$, third order.

Second-order linear equations in two variables

Second-order linear equation in two variables takes a general form of

$$Au_{x_1x_1} + Bu_{x_1x_2} + Cu_{x_2x_2} + Du_{x_1} + Eu_{x_2} + Fu = G.$$

- **Parabolic:** parabolic equations describe heat flow and diffusion processes and satisfy $B^2 4AC = 0$. For example,
 - heat equation: $u_t = u_{xx}$.
- **Hyperbolic:** hyperbolic equations describe vibrating system and wave motion and satisfy $B^2 4AC > 0$. For example,
 - wave equation: $u_{tt} = u_{xx}$.
- Elliptic: elliptic equations describe steady-state phenomena and satisfy $B^2 4AC < 0$. For example,
 - Poisson's equation: $-(u_{xx} + u_{yy}) = f(x, y)$.

Application of Poisson's equation in heat transfer

Let Ω be an open and bounded domain with boundary $\partial\Omega$. Consider

$$-(u_{x_1x_1} + u_{x_2x_2}) = f(x_1, x_2)$$
 on Ω

is used for describing steady state temperature distribution of some material. Three types of boundary conditions:

- **Dirichlet condition:** u = g(s) on $\partial \Omega$, temperature specified on the boundary.
- **Neumann condition:** $\frac{\partial u}{\partial n} = h(s)$ on $\partial \Omega$, n is an outward unit normal vector, heat flow across the boundary (flux) specified. Note that $\frac{\partial u}{\partial n} = \nabla u \cdot n$.
- Mixed condition: $\frac{\partial u}{\partial n} + \lambda u = g(s)$ on $\partial \Omega$.

1-D Heat equation

• Initial-boundary value problem (IBVP): find u(x, t) such that

$$\begin{cases} u_t &= u_{xx} & t > 0, 0 < x < 1, \\ u(x,0) &= g(x) & 0 \le x \le 1, \\ u(0,t) &= a(t) & t \ge 0, \\ u(1,t) &= b(t) & t \ge 0. \end{cases}$$

• **Notations:** u(x,t): unknown temperature in the rod, x is spatial coordinates and t is time, $u_{xx} = \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2}$ and $u_t = \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}$.

Finite difference method

Let

$$\begin{cases} t_j &= jk & j \ge 0, \\ x_i &= ih & 0 \le i \le n+1. \end{cases}$$

Note that $k \neq h$ in general.

• Recall some finite difference approximations:

$$f'(x) \approx \frac{1}{h} \Big(f(x+h) - f(x) \Big),$$

$$f'(x) \approx \frac{1}{2h} \Big(f(x+h) - f(x-h) \Big),$$

$$f''(x) \approx \frac{1}{h^2} \Big(f(x+h) - 2f(x) + f(x-h) \Big).$$

Finite difference method: explicit method

• Let $v \approx u$. Then

$$\frac{1}{k}\Big(v(x,t+k)-v(x,t)\Big)=\frac{1}{h^2}\Big(v(x+h,t)-2v(x,t)+v(x-h,t)\Big).$$

By defining $v_{ij} = v(x_i, t_j)$, we have

$$\frac{1}{k} \Big(v_{i,j+1} - v_{i,j} \Big) = \frac{1}{h^2} \Big(v_{i+1,j} - 2v_{i,j} + v_{i-1,j} \Big).$$

• Rewrite the above equation to obtain

$$v_{i,j+1} = \frac{k}{h^2} \left(v_{i+1,j} - 2v_{i,j} + v_{i-1,j} \right) + v_{i,j}$$

or

$$v_{i,j+1} = (sv_{i-1,j} + (1-2s)v_{i,j} + sv_{i+1,j}),$$

with $s = k/h^2$.

Algorithm

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{input } n \text{ , } k \text{ , } M \\ & h \leftarrow \frac{1}{n+1} \text{ and } s \leftarrow \frac{k}{h^2} \\ & w_i = g(ih) \text{ } (0 \leq i \leq n+1) \\ & t \leftarrow 0 \\ & \text{output } 0 \text{ , } t \text{ , } (w_0, w_1, \cdots, w_{n+1}) \\ & \text{for } j = 1 \text{ to } M \text{ do} \\ & v_0 \leftarrow a(jk) \text{ and } v_{n+1} \leftarrow b(jk) \\ & \text{ for } i = 1 \text{ to } n \text{ do} \\ & v_i = \left(sw_{i-1} + (1-2s)w_i + sw_{i+1}\right) \\ & \text{ end do} \\ & t \leftarrow jk \\ & \text{ output } j \text{ , } t \text{ , } (v_0, v_1, \cdots, v_{n+1}) \\ & (w_1, w_2, \cdots, w_n) \leftarrow (v_1, v_2, \cdots, v_n) \end{aligned}$$

Stability analysis

• Assume that a(t) = b(t) = 0. At $t_j = jk$, define $V_j = (v_{1,j}, v_{2,j}, \cdots, v_{n,j})^{\top}$. Then the explicit difference equations becomes $V_{j+1} = AV_j$, where

• Note that $v_{0,j} = v_{n+1,j} = 0$. We know that exact solution approaches 0 as $t \to \infty$ and therefore the temperature will reduce to zero as $t \to \infty$.

Stability analysis (cont'd)

For the numerical approximation,

$$V_{j+1} = AV_j = A(AV_{j-1}) = \dots = A^{j+1}V_0.$$

- Recall the following two statements are equivalent (see Section 4.6, p. 215)
 - (1) $\lim_{i\to\infty} A^j V = 0$ for all vectors $V \in \mathbb{R}^n$.
 - (2) $\rho(A) < 1$, where $\rho(A)$ is the spectral radius of matrix A.
- So $s = k/h^2$ should be chosen such that $\rho(A) < 1$.

The eigenvalues of A are: $\lambda_j = 1 - 2s(1 - \cos \theta_j)$, where $\theta_j = \frac{j\pi}{n+1}$, $1 \le j \le n$.

For
$$\rho(A) < 1$$
 we require $-1 < 1 - 2s(1 - \cos \theta_i) < 1$.

This is true if and only if $s < (1 - \cos \theta_i)^{-1}$.

Stability analysis (cont'd)

- The greatest restriction on s occurs when $\cos \theta_j = -1$, which does not happen since the largest $\theta_{j=n} = \frac{n\pi}{n+1}$. So we have $0 < s \le 1/2$ (i.e., $k/h^2 \le 1/2$) $\iff k \le \frac{h^2}{2}$.
- For example, $h = 0.01 \Longrightarrow k \le 5 \times 10^{-5} \Longrightarrow$ For $0 \le t \le 10$, the number of time step: 0.5×10^6 .
- **Open question:** Find eigenvalue of *A*. Note A = I sB, where

$$B = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & -1 \\ -1 & 2 & -1 \\ & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots \\ & & & -1 & 2 & -1 \\ & & & & -1 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$$

If x_i is an eigenvector of B with eigenvalue μ_i then

$$(I - sB)x_i = x_i - s\mu_i x_i = (1 - s\mu_i)x_i = Ax_i.$$

Hence $\lambda_i = 1 - s\mu_i$ is an eigenvalue of A.

Lemma on tridiagonal matrix eigenvalues and eigenvectors

Let $x = (\sin \theta, \sin 2\theta, \dots, \sin n\theta)^{\top}$. If $\theta = \frac{j\pi}{n+1}$, then x is an eigenvector of B corresponding to the eigenvalue $2 - 2\cos \theta$.

Proof: see textbook, page 621.

Finite difference method: implicit method

• We continue to study the initial-boundary value problem: find u(x,t) such that

$$\begin{cases} u_t &= u_{xx} & t > 0, 0 < x < 1, \\ u(x,0) &= g(x) & 0 \le x \le 1, \\ u(0,t) &= 0 & t \ge 0, \\ u(1,t) &= 0 & t \ge 0. \end{cases}$$

• The finite-difference equation:

$$\begin{split} &\frac{1}{k}\Big(v(x,t)-v(x,t-k)\Big) = \frac{1}{h^2}\Big(v(x+h,t)-2v(x,t)+v(x-h,t)\Big).\\ \Longrightarrow &\frac{1}{k}\Big(v_{i,j}-v_{i,j-1}\Big) = \frac{1}{h^2}\Big(v_{i+1,j}-2v_{i,j}+v_{i-1,j}\Big). \end{split}$$

• Let $s = \frac{k}{h^2}$ and rearrange to obtain

$$-sv_{i+1,j} + (1+2s)v_{i,j} - sv_{i-1,j} = v_{i,j-1}$$
, for $1 \le i \le n$.

Stability analysis

• Let $V_j = (v_{1,j}, v_{2,j}, \cdots, v_{n,j})^{\top}$ then the method can be written as $AV_j = V_{j-1}$, where A is given by

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1+2s & -s & & & \\ -s & 1+2s & -s & & & \\ & & \ddots & & & \\ & & & \ddots & & \\ & & & -s & 1+2s \end{bmatrix}.$$

- Solve $V_j = A^{-1}V_{j-1} = A^{-1}A^{-1}V_{j-2} \cdots = A^{-j}V_0$.
- V_0 is known, u(ih, 0) initial condition. Here we need $\rho(A^{-1}) < 1$ for stability.

Stability analysis (cont'd)

• Since A = I + sB, where

$$B = \left[\begin{array}{cccc} 2 & -1 & & & \\ -1 & 2 & -1 & & \\ & & \ddots & & \\ & & & \ddots & \\ & & & -1 & 2 \end{array} \right],$$

the eigenvalues of A are given by $\lambda_i = 1 + s\mu_i$ = $1 + 2s(1 - \cos \theta_i)$ with $\theta_i = \frac{i\pi}{n+1}$, $1 \le i \le n$.

- Clearly, $\lambda_i > 1$, since $\lambda_i = 1 + 2s(1 \cos \theta_i)$ $\Longrightarrow \lambda_i > 1 \Rightarrow \rho(A^{-1}) < 1$.
 - \Longrightarrow The method is stable for all h and k.
- Note that we need to solve a tridiagonal system of linear equation to advance each time step (use subroutine §4.3 to solve tridiagonal).

Algorithm

$$\begin{array}{l} \text{input } n, k, M \\ h \leftarrow \frac{1}{n+1}, \quad s \leftarrow \frac{k}{h^2}, \quad v_i = g(ih) \; (1 \leq i \leq n), \quad t \leftarrow 0 \\ \text{output } 0, t, (v_1, v_2, \cdots, v_n) \\ \text{for } i = 1 \; \text{to } n - 1 \; \text{do} \\ c_i = -s \; \text{and} \; a_i = -s \\ \text{end do} \\ \text{for } i = 1 \; \text{to } n \; \text{do} \\ d_i = 1 + 2s \\ \text{end do} \\ \text{call tri}(n, a, d, c, v; v) \\ t \leftarrow jk \\ \text{output } j, t, (v_1, v_2, \cdots, v_n) \\ \text{end do} \end{array}$$

Crank-Nicolson method

We can combine the previous two methods into a θ -method:

$$\begin{split} &\frac{\theta}{h^2} \Big(v_{i+1,j} - 2 v_{i,j} + v_{i-1,j} \Big) + \frac{1 - \theta}{h^2} \Big(v_{i+1,j-1} - 2 v_{i,j-1} + v_{i-1,j-1} \Big) \\ &= \frac{1}{k} \Big(v_{i,j} - v_{i,j-1} \Big). \end{split}$$

- $\theta = 0 \Longrightarrow$ explicit method.
- $\theta = 1 \Longrightarrow \text{implicit method.}$
- $\theta = 1/2 \Longrightarrow$ Crank-Nicolson (CN).

Crank-Nicolson method (cont'd)

• Taking $s = \frac{k}{h^2}$ and rewriting the CN method, we obtain

$$-sv_{i-1,j} + (2+2s)v_{i,j} - sv_{i+1,j} = sv_{i-1,j-1} + (2+2s)v_{i,j-1} + sv_{i+1,j-1}.$$

• Again, let $V_j = (v_{1,j}, v_{2,j}, \cdots, v_{n,j})^{\top}$ and

$$B = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & -1 \\ -1 & 2 & -1 \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & \ddots \\ & & & -1 & 2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

The method can be written in the matrix form

$$(2I + sB)V_j = (2I - sB)V_{j-1}.$$

Stability analysis

- For stability, we need $\rho((2I+sB)^{-1}(2I-sB)) < 1$.
- Set $A = (2I + sB)^{-1}(2I sB)$ with $V_j = AV_{j-1}$. If x_i is an eigenvector of B then

$$(2I - sB)x_i = 2x_i - sBx_i$$

$$= 2x_i - s\mu_i x_i$$

$$= (2 - s\mu_i)x_i.$$

$$(2I + sB)^{-1}(2I - sB)x_i = (2I + sB)^{-1}(2 - s\mu_i)x_i = \cdots$$

 \implies x_i is also an eigenvector of A with eigenvalues $\frac{2-s\mu_i}{2+s\mu_i}$.

• To have $\rho((2+sB)^{-1}(2-sB)) < 1$, we get it if $|(2+s\mu)^{-1}(2-s\mu)| < 1$. Because $\mu_i = 2(1-\cos\theta_i)$, we see that $0 < \mu_i < 4$.

Thus
$$\left| \frac{2-s\mu_i}{2+s\mu_i} \right| < 1$$
, $\forall s = \frac{k}{h^2}$.

So, the CN method is an unconditionally stable method.

Error analysis

Recall the explicit method

$$v_{i,j+1} = s(v_{i-1,j} - 2v_{i,j} + v_{i+1,j}) + v_{i,j}.$$

Let $u_{i,j}$ be the exact solution at (x_i, t_j) . Then

$$e_{i,j}=u_{i,j}-v_{i,j}.$$

• We replace v by u - e to obtain

$$\begin{array}{rcl} u_{i,j+1} - e_{i,j+1} & = & s(u_{i-i,j} - 2u_{i,j} + u_{i+1,j}) + u_{i,j} \\ & & - s(e_{i-i,j} - 2e_{i,j} + e_{i+1,j}) - e_{i,j}. \\ e_{i,j+1} & = & (se_{i-1,j} + (1-2s)e_{i,j} + se_{i+1,j}) \\ & & - s(u_{i-1,j} - 2u_{i,j} + u_{i+1,j}) + (u_{i,j+1} - u_{i,j}). \end{array}$$

Error analysis (cont'd)

Using these formulas,

$$f''(x) = \frac{1}{h^2} \Big(f(x+h) - 2f(x) + f(x-h) \Big) - \frac{h^2}{12} f^{(4)}(\xi),$$

$$g'(t) = \frac{1}{k} \Big(g(t+k) - g(t) \Big) - \frac{k}{2} g''(\tau),$$

with $sh^2 = k$ and $u_{xx} = u_t$, we obtain

$$e_{i,j+1} = (se_{i-1,j} + (1-2s)e_{i,j} + se_{i+1,j}) - s(h^{2}u_{xx}(x_{i}, t_{j}) + \frac{h^{4}}{12}u_{xxxx}(\xi_{i}, t_{j}))$$

$$+(ku_{t}(x_{i}, t_{j}) + \frac{k^{2}}{2}u_{tt}(x_{i}, \tau_{j})),$$

$$\Rightarrow e_{i,j+1} = (se_{i-1,j} + (1-2s)e_{i,j} + se_{i+1,j})$$

$$-kh^{2}(\frac{1}{12}u_{xxxx}(\xi_{i}, t_{j}) - \frac{s}{2}u_{tt}(x_{i}, \tau_{i})).$$

Error analysis (cont'd)

• Let us confine (x, t) to the compact set

$$S = \{(x,t) : 0 \le x \le 1, 0 \le t \le T\}.$$
Put $M = \frac{1}{12} \max_{S} |u_{xxxx}(x,t)| + \frac{1}{2} \max_{S} |u_{tt}(x,t)|,$

$$E_j = (e_{1,j}, e_{2,j}, \dots, e_{n,j})^\top, ||E_j||_{\infty} = \max_{1 \le i \le n} |e_{ij}|.$$

• We assume 1 - 2s > 0:

$$|e_{i,j+1}| \leq s|e_{i-1,j}| + (1-2s)|e_{ij}| + s|e_{i+1,j}| + kh^2M$$

$$\leq s||E_j||_{\infty} + (1-2s)||E_j||_{\infty} + s||E_j||_{\infty} + kh^2M$$

$$\leq ||E_i||_{\infty} + kh^2M.$$

Hence,

$$||E_{j+1}||_{\infty} \leq ||E_{j}||_{\infty} + kh^{2}M \leq ||E_{j-1}||_{\infty} + 2kh^{2}M$$

$$\leq \cdots \leq ||E_{0}||_{\infty} + (j+1)kh^{2}M.$$

$$\Longrightarrow ||E_{i}||_{\infty} \leq jkh^{2}M \Longrightarrow ||E_{i}||_{\infty} \leq Th^{2}M = O(h^{2}).$$

Numerical differentiation

Assume that $u \in C^4[a,b]$ and $a = x_0 < x_1 < \cdots < x_M < x_{M+1} = b$ is a uniform partition of [a,b]. Then $h_j = h = \frac{b-a}{M+1}$ for $j = 1,2,\cdots,M+1$. For $i = 1,2,\cdots,M$, we have

$$\begin{array}{l} u(x_i+h) = u(x_i) + u'(x_i)h + \frac{1}{2}u''(x_i)h^2 + \frac{1}{6}u^{(3)}(x_i)h^3 + \frac{1}{24}u^{(4)}(\xi_{i1})h^4, \\ u(x_i-h) = u(x_i) - u'(x_i)h + \frac{1}{2}u''(x_i)h^2 - \frac{1}{6}u^{(3)}(x_i)h^3 + \frac{1}{24}u^{(4)}(\xi_{i2})h^4, \end{array}$$

for some $\xi_{i1} \in (x_i, x_i + h)$ and $\xi_{i2} \in (x_i - h, x_i)$. Therefore, we have

$$u(x_i + h) + u(x_i - h) = 2u(x_i) + u''(x_i)h^2 + \frac{1}{24}\{u^{(4)}(\xi_{i1}) + u^{(4)}(\xi_{i2})\}h^4.$$

$$\therefore u''(x_i) =$$

$$\therefore u''(x_i) = \frac{1}{24} \{ u(x_i + h) - 2u(x_i) + u(x_i - h) \} - \frac{1}{24} h^2 \{ u^{(4)}(\xi_{i1}) + u^{(4)}(\xi_{i2}) \}.$$

$$u \in C^{4}[a,b], \frac{1}{2}\{u^{(4)}(\xi_{i1}) + u^{(4)}(\xi_{i2})\} \text{ between } u^{(4)}(\xi_{i1}) \& u^{(4)}(\xi_{i2}).$$

∴ By IVT,
$$\exists \xi_i$$
 between ξ_{i1} and ξ_{i2} ($\Rightarrow \xi_i \in (x_i - h, x_i + h)$) such that $u^{(4)}(\xi_i) = \frac{1}{2} \{ u^{(4)}(\xi_{i1}) + u^{(4)}(\xi_{i2}) \}.$

$$\therefore u''(x_i) = \frac{1}{h^2} \{ u(x_i + h) - 2u(x_i) + u(x_i - h) \} - \frac{1}{12} h^2 u^{(4)}(\xi_i),$$
 for some $\xi_i \in (x_i - h, x_i + h)$. (2nd-order approximation)

FDM for a two-point boundary value problem

Consider the 1-D two-point BVP:

$$\begin{cases} -u''(x) &= f(x) & x \in (0,1), \\ u(0) &= u(1) = 0. \end{cases}$$

- The interval [0,1] is discretized uniformly by taking the n+2 points, $x_i = ih$, for $i = 0, 1, \dots, n+1$, where h = 1/(n+1).
- Let $v_i \approx u(x_i)$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, and $v_0 := u(x_0) = 0$, $v_{n+1} := u(x_{n+1}) = 0$ are known due to the Dirichlet BC.
- If the centered difference approximation is used for u'', the above equation can be expressed as

$$-\left(\frac{v_{i-1}-2v_i+v_{i+1}}{h^2}\right)=f_i, \quad i=1,2,\cdots,n,$$

where $f_i := f(x_i)$.

Resulting linear system

The linear system obtained is of the form

$$AV = F$$
,

where

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & -1 \\ -1 & 2 & -1 \\ & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots \\ & & -1 & 2 & -1 \\ & & & -1 & 2 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$V = (v_1, v_2, \cdots, v_n)^{\top}$$
 and $F = (h^2 f_1, h^2 f_2, \cdots, h^2 f_n)^{\top}$.

Eigen properties of *A*

- The matrix *A* has *n* eigenvalues, and since *A* is symmetric, all eigenvalues must be real.
- Note that the eigenvalues of A are given by

$$\lambda_j = 2 - 2\cos(j\theta) > 0, j = 1, 2, \dots, n,$$

and the eigenvector associated with each λ_i is given by

$$V_j = (\sin(j\theta), \sin(2j\theta), \cdots, \sin(nj\theta))^{\top},$$

where $\theta = \frac{\pi}{n+1}$.

- $\lambda_{\max} = 2 2\cos(\frac{n\pi}{n+1})$ and $\lambda_{\min} = 2 2\cos(\frac{\pi}{n+1})$.
- What is the condition number of *A*? $(\sin x = x x^3/(3!) + x^5/(5!) \cdots)$

$$\kappa(A) = \frac{\sin^2 \frac{n\pi}{2(n+1)}}{\sin^2 \frac{\pi}{2(n+1)}} \approx \frac{\sin(\pi/2)}{(\frac{\pi}{2(n+1)})^2} \approx O\left(n^2\right) \approx O\left(\frac{1}{h^2}\right).$$

FDM for a 2-D boundary value problem

Consider Poisson's problem,

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_1^2} + \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_2^2} &= f & \text{in } \Omega := (0,1) \times (0,1), \\ u &= 0 & \text{on } \partial \Omega. \end{cases}$$

• Define the mesh size $h = \frac{1}{n+1}$, the collection of mesh points $(x_{1i}, x_{2j}) = (ih, jh)$, the approximate solution at the mesh points $v_{ij} \approx u(x_{1j}, x_{2j})$, $i, j = 0, 1, \dots, n+1$.

Note: There are n^2 interior points $\approx \frac{1}{h^2}$. (in 3D, $\approx \frac{1}{h^3}$ number of points).

• The FD equations

$$\begin{cases} \frac{v_{i-1j} - 2v_{ij} + v_{i+1j}}{h^2} + \frac{v_{ij-1} - 2v_{ij} + v_{ij+1}}{h^2} = f_{ij}, \\ v_{0j} = v_{n+1j} = v_{i0} = v_{in+1} = 0. \end{cases}$$

For example n = 3: natural ordering

We order the unknown quantities in the natural ordering

$$V = (v_{11}, v_{21}, v_{31}, v_{12}, v_{22}, v_{n2}, v_{13}, v_{23}, v_{33})^{\top}.$$

• Then the corresponding linear system can be written as (see Text, page 631)

$$AV = \begin{bmatrix} B & -I \\ -I & B & -I \\ & -I & B \end{bmatrix} V = F \quad \text{with} \quad B = \begin{bmatrix} 4 & -1 & 0 \\ -1 & 4 & -1 \\ 0 & -1 & 4 \end{bmatrix}.$$

• block-tridiag matrix; symmetric $a_{ij} = a_{ji}$; sparse, number of nonzeros per row ≈ 5 (independent of the mesh size h) number of nonzeros $\approx 5n$ (linear in n).

References

- K. W. Morton and D. F. Mayers, *Numerical Solution of Partial Differential Equations*, Cambridge University Press, 1994.
- K. W. Morton, Numerical Solution of Convection-Diffusion *Problems*, Chapman & Hall, 1996.