# MA 7007: Numerical Solution of Differential Equations I Initial Value Problem for ODEs



Suh-Yuh Yang (楊肅煜)

Department of Mathematics, National Central University Jhongli District, Taoyuan City 32001, Taiwan

E-mail: syyang@math.ncu.edu.tw Website: http://www.math.ncu.eud.tw/~syyang/

# Introduction

• Initial-value problem (IVP): find u(t) such that

$$u'(t) = f(u(t), t) \text{ for } t > t_0$$
 (\*)

with some initial data  $u(t_0) = \eta$ . We will often assume  $t_0 = 0$  for simplicity.

In general, (\*) may represent a system of ODEs, i.e., for t > t<sub>0</sub>,

$$u'_{1}(t) = f_{1}(u_{1}, u_{2}, \cdots, u_{s}, t),$$
  

$$u'_{2}(t) = f_{2}(u_{1}, u_{2}, \cdots, u_{s}, t),$$
  

$$\vdots$$
  

$$u'_{s}(t) = f_{s}(u_{1}, u_{2}, \cdots, u_{s}, t).$$

• A higher-order ODE can be converted to a first-order system.

# Example

Consider the IVP for the ODE,

$$v'''(t) = v'(t)v(t) - 2t(v''(t))^2$$
 for  $t > 0$ .

with three initial values  $v(0) = \eta_1$ ,  $v'(0) = \eta_2$ , and  $v''(0) = \eta_3$ .

Let  $u_1(t) := v(t)$ ,  $u_2(t) := v'(t)$ , and  $u_3(t) := v''(t)$ . Then we have

$$\begin{array}{rcl} u_1'(t) &=& u_2(t),\\ u_2'(t) &=& u_3(t), & t>0,\\ u_3'(t) &=& u_1(t)u_2(t)-2tu_3^2(t), \end{array}$$

with the initial conditions  $u_1(0) = \eta_1$ ,  $u_2(0) = \eta_2$ , and  $u_3(0) = \eta_3$ .

### Example: An autonomous first-order system

We also may define  $u_4(t) = t$  such that  $u'_4(t) = 1$  and  $u_4(0) = 0$ . Then the system takes the form

$$u'(t) = f(u(t)), \quad t > 0,$$

with

$$f(u) = \begin{bmatrix} u_2 \\ u_3 \\ u_1 u_2 - 2u_4 u_3^2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } u(0) = \begin{bmatrix} \eta_1 \\ \eta_2 \\ \eta_3 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

The equation is said to be autonomous since the RHS f does not depend explicitly on time *t*.

# Linear ordinary differential equations

• The system of ODEs (\*) is linear if

$$f(u,t) = A(t)u + g(t),$$

where  $A(t) \in \mathbb{R}^{s \times s}$  and  $g(t) \in \mathbb{R}^{s}$ .

• An important special case is the constant coefficient linear system

$$u'(t) = Au(t) + g(t),$$

where  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{s \times s}$  is a constant matrix. If  $g(t) \equiv 0$ , then the equation is homogeneous. The solution to the homogeneous system u'(t) = Au(t) with  $u(t_0) = \eta$  is

$$u(t) = e^{A(t-t_0)}\eta,$$

where the matrix exponential is defined as in Appendix D.

### Duhamel's principle

• If  $g(t) \neq 0$ , then the solution to the constant coefficient system can be written as

$$u(t) = e^{A(t-t_0)}\eta + \int_{t_0}^t e^{A(t-\tau)}g(\tau)d\tau.$$

This is known as *Duhamel's principle*.

• In particular, if *A* is constant and so is  $g(t) \equiv g \in \mathbb{R}^s$ . Then the solution reduces to

$$u(t) = e^{A(t-t_0)}\eta + \left(\int_{t_0}^t e^{A(t-\tau)}d\tau\right)g$$

This integral can be computed by expressing  $e^{A(t-\tau)}$  as a Taylor series and then integrating term by term. We obtain

$$\int_{t_0}^t e^{A(t-\tau)} d\tau = A^{-1} (e^{A(t-t_0)} - I),$$

and so

$$u(t) = e^{A(t-t_0)}\eta + A^{-1} \Big( e^{A(t-t_0)} - I \Big) g.$$

#### **Existence and uniqueness of solutions**

We consider the following IVP (s = 1),

$$u'(t) = f(u(t), t), \quad t > t_0 \text{ and } u(t_0) = \eta.$$

• We say that f(u, t) is Lipschitz continuous in u over the domain

$$\mathcal{D} := \{(u,t) : |u-\eta| \le a, t_0 \le t \le t_1\}$$

if there exists some constant  $L \ge 0$  so that

$$|f(u,t) - f(u^*,t)| \le L|u - u^*|$$

for all (u, t) and  $(u^*, t)$  in  $\mathcal{D}$ .

• If *f* is Lipschitz continuous over the region  $\mathcal{D}$  then there is a unique solution to the IVP at least up to time  $T^* = \min\{t_1, t_0 + a/S\}$ , where

$$S = \max_{(u,t)\in\mathcal{D}} |f(u,t)|.$$

### Example

Consider the following IVP

$$u'(t) = (u(t))^2, \qquad u(0) = \eta > 0.$$

• Let  $f(u) = u^2$ . By MVT,  $\exists \xi$  between  $u_1$  and  $u_2$  such that

$$f(u_1) - f(u_2) = \frac{\partial f(\xi)}{\partial u} (u_1 - u_2) \Longrightarrow |u_1^2 - u_2^2| \le 2(\eta + a)|u_1 - u_2|.$$

Then f(u) is Lipschitz continuous in u over any finite interval  $|u - \eta| \le a$  with  $L = 2(\eta + a)$ .

- Since  $|f(u)| \le (\eta + a)^2 := S$ . Then the theorem guarantees that a unique solution exists at least up to time  $a/(\eta + a)^2$ . Since *a* is arbitrary, we can set  $a = \eta$  and so there is a solution at least up to time  $1/(4\eta)$ .
- In fact, the unique solution is given by

$$u(t) = \frac{1}{\eta^{-1} - t}.$$

Note that  $u(t) \rightarrow \infty$  as  $t \rightarrow 1/\eta$  and there is no solution beyond time  $1/\eta$ .

### Non-uniqueness

If f is continuous but not Lipschitz continuous, we may have more than one solution, e.g.,

$$u'(t) = \sqrt{u(t)}, \qquad u(0) = 0.$$

The function  $f(u) = \sqrt{u}$  is not Lipschitz continuous near u = 0 since  $f'(u) = 1/(2\sqrt{u}) \rightarrow \infty$  as  $u \rightarrow 0^+$ .

Note that this IVP does not have a unique solution. In fact it has two distinct solutions:

$$u(t) \equiv 0$$
 and  $u(t) = \frac{1}{4}t^2$ 

#### **Systems of equations:** s > 1

• We say that function f(u, t) is Lipschitz continuous in u in some norm  $\|\cdot\|$  if there exists some constant  $L \ge 0$  such that

$$||f(u,t) - f(u^*,t)|| \le L||u - u^*||$$

for all (u, t) and  $(u^*, t)$  in some domain

$$\mathcal{D} := \{(u,t) : \|u - \eta\| \le a, t_0 \le t \le t_1\}.$$

- By the equivalence of finite-dimensional norms, if *f* is Lipschitz continuous in one norm then it is Lipschitz continuous in any other norm, although the Lipschitz constant may depend on the norm chosen.
- The theorems on existence and uniqueness carry over to systems of equations.

# Example

Consider the pendulum problem

$$\theta''(t) = -\sin(\theta(t)).$$

Let  $v(t) := \theta'(t)$ , then it can be rewritten as a first-order system of two equations:  $u = (\theta, v)^{\top}, f(u) = (v, -\sin(\theta))^{\top}$  and

$$u'(t) = f(u).$$

Consider the max-norm. In view of

$$|v - v^*| \le ||u - u^*||_{\infty},$$
  
$$|\sin(\theta) - \sin(\theta^*)| \le |\theta - \theta^*| \le ||u - u^*||_{\infty},$$

we have the Lipschitz continuity of f with L = 1,

$$||f(u) - f(u^*)||_{\infty} \le ||u - u^*||_{\infty}.$$

#### **Basic numerical methods**

For simplicity, we consider the following autonomous IVP:

$$u'(t) = f(u(t))$$
 for  $t > 0$  and  $u(0) = \eta$ .

Let *k* be the time step, so  $t_n = nk$  for  $n \ge 0$ . Let  $U^n$  represent an approximation to  $u(t_n)$  and  $U^0 := \eta$ .

• Euler's method (also called forward Euler):

$$\frac{U^{n+1} - U^n}{k} = f(U^n), \quad n = 0, 1, \cdots,$$

or  $U^{n+1} = U^n + kf(U^n)$ , which is a time-marching method.

• Backward Euler method:

$$\frac{U^{n+1} - U^n}{k} = f(U^{n+1}), \quad n = 0, 1, \cdots$$

• Trapezoidal method: averaging the forward and backward Euler methods

$$\frac{U^{n+1} - U^n}{k} = \frac{1}{2} \left( f(U^n) + f(U^{n+1}) \right), \quad n = 0, 1, \cdots$$

# Remarks

- The backward Euler and trapezoidal methods give an equation that must be solved for *U*<sup>*n*+1</sup>, they are called implicit methods and can be solved by using e.g., Newton's method.
- The forward Euler method is an explicit method.
- The trapezoidal method is second order accurate, whereas the Euler methods are only first order accurate.
- The above methods are all one-step methods, meaning that  $U^{n+1}$  is determined from  $U^n$  alone and previous values are not needed.

# **Multistep methods**

One way to get higher order accuracy is to use a multistep method that involves other previous values.

• Midpoint method (the leapfrog method): Using the approximation

$$\frac{u(t+k) - u(t-k)}{2k} = u'(t) + \frac{1}{6}k^2u'''(t) + O(k^3)$$

yields a second order accurate explicit 2-step method

$$\frac{U^{n+1} - U^{n-1}}{2k} = f(U^n)$$

or

$$U^{n+1} = U^{n-1} + 2kf(U^n).$$

Backward differentiation formula (BDF) methods: Using the approximation

$$\frac{3u(t+k) - 4u(t) + u(t-k)}{2k} = u'(t+k) - \frac{1}{3}k^2u'''(t+k) + \cdots$$

yields a second order accurate implicit 2-step method

$$\frac{3U^{n+1}-4U^n+U^{n-1}}{2k}=f(U^{n+1}).$$

## Local truncation error

As usual, the local truncation error (LTE) of, for example, the midpoint method

$$\frac{U^{n+1} - U^{n-1}}{2k} = f(U^n)$$

is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} t^n &= \frac{u(t_{n+1}) - u(t_{n-1})}{2k} - f(u(t_n)) \\ &= \left(u'(t_n) + \frac{1}{6}k^2 u'''(t_n) + O(k^3)\right) - u'(t_n) \\ &= \frac{1}{6}k^2 u'''(t_n) + O(k^3). \end{aligned}$$

So, the local truncation error is  $O(k^2)$  and we say that methods is second order accurate, although it is not yet clear that the global error will have this behavior (we need some form of *stability* to guarantee it).

#### **One-step errors**

Based on the form  $U^{n+1} = U^{n-1} + 2kf(U^n)$ , we define

$$\mathcal{L}^{n} := u(t_{n+1}) - u(t_{n-1}) - 2kf(u(t_{n})) = \frac{1}{3}k^{3}u'''(t_{n}) + O(k^{4}) = 2k\tau^{n}$$

- Using this alternative definition, many standard results in ODE theory sat that a *p*th order accurate method should have an LTE that is  $O(k^{p+1})$ .
- But here we will call  $\mathcal{L}^n$  the one-step error, since this can be viewed as the error that would be introduced in one time step if the past values  $U^n, U^{n-1}, \cdots$  were all taken to be the exact values from u(t).
- For example, in the midpoint method, we suppose that  $U^n = u(t_n)$  and  $U^{n-1} = u(t_{n-1})$ , then

$$U^{n+1} = u(t_{n-1}) + 2kf(u(t_n))$$
  
$$\implies u(t_{n+1}) - U^{n+1} = u(t_{n+1}) - u(t_{n-1}) - 2kf(u(t_n)) = \mathcal{L}^n = O(k^3).$$

We see that in one step the error introduced is  $O(k^3)$ .

• If we want to compute an approximation to the true solution *u*(*T*) at some fixed time *T*, we need to take *T*/*k* time steps. Then a rough estimate of the global error at time *T* is taking sum of all one-step errors,

$$GE \approx O(k^3) \times T/k = O(k^2).$$

### **Taylor series methods**

Consider the IVP: u'(t) = f(u(t), t) for t > 0 with the initial condition  $u(0) = \eta$ .

• By the Taylor series expansion, we have

$$u(t_{n+1}) = u(t_n) + ku'(t_n) + \frac{1}{2}k^2u''(t_n) + \cdots$$

If we drop all terms of order  $k^2$  and higher, we obtain

$$u(t_{n+1}) = u(t_n) + kf(u(t_n), t_n) + O(k^2).$$

This suggests the forward Euler method

$$U^{n+1} = U^n + kf(U^n, t_n).$$

and the *one-step error* is  $O(k^2)$ .

 A Taylor series method of higher accuracy can be derived by keeping more terms in the Taylor series. If we keep the first p + 1 terms of the Taylor series expansion

$$u(t_{n+1}) \approx u(t_n) + ku'(t_n) + \frac{1}{2}k^2u''(t_n) + \dots + \frac{1}{p!}k^pu^{(p)}(t_n),$$

then we obtain a *p*th order accurate method.

### Taylor series methods (continued)

The problem is that we are given only

$$u'(t) = f(u(t), t)$$

and we must compute the higher derivatives by repeated differentiation of this function. For example, we can compute

$$u''(t) = f_u(u(t), t)u'(t) + f_t(u(t), t)$$
  
=  $f_u(u(t), t)f(u(t), t) + f_t(u(t), t)$ 

**Example.** Consider the equation  $u'(t) = t^2 \sin(u(t))$ . Then we can compute

$$u''(t) = 2t \sin(u(t)) + t^2 \cos(u(t))u'(t)$$
  
=  $2t \sin(u(t)) + t^4 \cos(u(t)) \sin(u(t))$ 

Hence, a second order method is given by

$$U^{n+1} = U^n + kt_n^2 \sin(U^n) + \frac{1}{2}k^2 \Big( 2t_n \sin(U^n) + t_n^4 \cos(U^n) \sin(U^n) \Big).$$

# Advantages and disadvantages of Taylor-series methods

- Disadvantages:
  - The method depends on repeated differentiation of the differential equation, unless we intend to use only the method of order 1. Thus, *f*(*u*, *t*) must have partial derivatives of sufficient high order in the region where are solving the problem. Such an assumption is not necessary for the existence of a solution.
  - The various derivatives formula need to be programmed.
- Advantages:
  - Conceptual simplicity.
  - Potential for high precision: If we get e.g. 10 derivatives of *u*(*t*), then the method is order 10 (i.e., terms up to and including the one involving *k*<sup>10</sup>).

# **Basic concepts of Runge-Kutta methods**

We wish to approximate the following nonautonomous IVP:

$$\begin{cases} u'(t) &= f(u(t), t), \\ u(0) &= \eta. \end{cases}$$

• From the Taylor theorem, we have

$$u(t+k) = u(t) + ku'(t) + \frac{k^2}{2!}u''(t) + O(k^3).$$

• By the chain rule, we obtain

$$\begin{cases} u''(t) = f_t + f_u u' = f_t + f_u f, \\ u'''(t) = f_{tt} + f_{tu} f + (f_t + f_u f) f_u + f(f_{ut} + f_{uu} f). \end{cases}$$

# Basic concepts of Runge-Kutta methods (continued)

• In the Taylor expansion, we have

$$\begin{aligned} u(t+k) &= u(t) + kf(u,t) + \frac{k^2}{2}(f_t(u,t) + f_u(u,t)f(u,t)) + O(k^3) \\ &= u(t) + \frac{k}{2}f(u,t) + \frac{k}{2}[f(u,t) + kf_t(u,t) + kf_u(u,t)f(u,t))] + O(k^3) \\ &= u(t) + \frac{k}{2}f(u,t) + \frac{k}{2}f(u+kf(u,t),t+k) + O(k^3). \end{aligned}$$

 Note that the term in the square blankets above can be obtained by the Taylor expansion in two variables

$$f(u + kf(t, u), t + k) = f(u, t) + kf_t(u, t) + kf(u, t)f_u(u, t) + O(k^2).$$

# A second-order Runge-Kutta method

• Therefore, we have

$$u(t+k) = u(t) + \frac{k}{2}f(u,t) + \frac{k}{2}f(u+kf(u,t),t+k) + O(k^3).$$

Then a 2nd-order Runge-Kutta (RK) method is given by

$$U^{n+1}=U^n+\frac{k}{2}(F_1+F_2),$$

where

$$F_1 = f(U^n, t_n)$$
 and  $F_2 = f(U^n + kF_1, t_n + k)$ .

- It is a multistage (two-stage) explicit method.
- It is known as Heun's method.

# The general second-order Runge-Kutta method

• In general, the 2nd order RK method needs

$$u(t+k) = u(t) + \omega_1 k f + \omega_2 k f(u+\beta k f, t+\alpha k) + O(k^3),$$
  
=  $u(t) + \omega_1 k f + \omega_2 k \left(f + \alpha k f_t + \beta k f f_u\right) + O(k^3).$ 

Compare with

$$u(t+k) = u(t) + kf + \frac{k^2}{2}(f_t + f_u f) + O(k^3),$$

we have

$$\omega_1 + \omega_2 = 1,$$
  

$$\omega_2 \alpha = 1/2,$$
  

$$\omega_2 \beta = 1/2.$$

# The modified Euler method

• The previous method (Heun's method) is obtained by setting

$$\begin{cases} \omega_1 = \omega_2 = 1/2, \\ \alpha = \beta = 1. \end{cases}$$

Setting

$$\begin{cases} \omega_1 = 0, \\ \omega_2 = 1, \\ \alpha = \beta = 1/2 \end{cases}$$

we have

$$u(t+k) = u(t) + kf\left(u + \frac{k}{2}f(u,t), t + \frac{1}{2}k\right) + O(k^3).$$

Then we obtain the following modified Euler method:

$$U^{n+1} = U^n + kF_2,$$

where  $F_1 = f(U^n, t_n)$  and  $F_2 = f(U^n + \frac{k}{2}F_1, t_n + \frac{1}{2}k)$ .

# Fourth-order RK methods

- The derivations of higher order RK methods are tedious. However, the formulas are rather elegant and easily programmed once they have been derived.
- The most popular 4th order RK is:

$$U^{n+1} = U^n + \frac{k}{6} \Big( F_1 + 2F_2 + 2F_3 + F_4 \Big),$$

where

$$\begin{cases}
F_1 &= f(U^n, t_n), \\
F_2 &= f\left(U^n + \frac{k}{2}F_1, t_n + \frac{k}{2}\right), \\
F_3 &= f\left(U^n + \frac{k}{2}F_2, t_n + \frac{k}{2}\right), \\
F_4 &= f(U^n + kF_3, t_n + k).
\end{cases}$$